EXHIBIT 15

US011594888B2

(12) **United States Patent**
McNamara et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 11,594,888 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Feb. 28, 2023**

(54) **METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR ADJUSTING POWER CONSUMPTION BASED ON A FIXED-DURATION POWER OPTION AGREEMENT**

(71) Applicant: **LANCIUM LLC**, Houston, TX (US)

(72) Inventors: **Michael T. McNamara**, Newport Beach, CA (US); **Raymond E. Cline, Jr.**, Houston, TX (US)

(73) Assignee: **LANCIUM LLC**, Houston, TX (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/340,886**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 7, 2021**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2021/0294405 A1 Sep. 23, 2021

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 16/834,987, filed on Mar. 30, 2020, now Pat. No. 11,031,783, which is a (Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G05B 19/042 (2006.01)
H02J 3/14 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC ***H02J 3/14*** (2013.01); ***G05B 19/042*** (2013.01); ***G06F 1/3203*** (2013.01); ***G06Q 50/06*** (2013.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H02J 3/14; H02J 3/008; H02J 13/00002; H02J 3/144; G05B 19/042;
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,142,672 A 8/1992 Johnson et al.
5,367,669 A 11/1994 Holland et al.
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 101803148 A 8/2010
CN 102185382 A 9/2011
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Advisory Action dated Nov. 13, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,360, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 182 pages.
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Christopher E. Everett
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Barnes & Thornburg LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Examples relate to adjusting load power consumption based on a power option agreement. A computing system may receive power option data that is based on a power option agreement and specify minimum power thresholds associated with time intervals. The computing system may determine a performance strategy for a load (e.g., set of computing systems) based on a combination of the power option data and one or more monitored conditions. The performance strategy may specify a power consumption target for the load for each time interval such that each power consumption target is equal to or greater than the minimum power threshold associated with each time interval. The computing system may provide instructions the set of computing systems to perform one or more computational operations based on the performance strategy.

27 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 16/702,931, filed on Dec. 4, 2019, now Pat. No. 10,608,433.

(60) Provisional application No. 62/927,119, filed on Oct. 28, 2019.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

H02J 3/00	(2006.01)
G06F 1/3203	(2019.01)
H02J 13/00	(2006.01)
G06Q 50/06	(2012.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC ***H02J 3/008*** (2013.01); ***H02J 13/00002*** (2020.01); *G05B 2219/2639* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G05B 2219/2639; G06F 1/3203; G06F 1/182; G06F 1/20; G06F 1/206; G06F 1/3209; G06F 1/3212; G06F 1/3296; G06F 9/5094; G06Q 50/06; Y02B 70/3225; Y02B 90/20; Y02D 10/00; Y04S 20/00; Y04S 20/222; Y04S 50/10
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,913,046	A	6/1999	Barth et al.
6,288,456	B1	9/2001	Cratty
6,633,823	B2	10/2003	Bartone et al.
6,748,932	B1	6/2004	Sorter et al.
7,143,300	B2	11/2006	Potter et al.
7,278,273	B1	10/2007	Whitted et al.
7,376,851	B2	5/2008	Kim
7,647,516	B2	1/2010	Ranganathan et al.
7,702,931	B2	4/2010	Goodrum et al.
7,779,276	B2	8/2010	Bolan et al.
7,861,102	B1	12/2010	Ranganathan et al.
7,921,315	B2	4/2011	Langgood et al.
7,970,561	B2	6/2011	Pfeiffer
8,001,403	B2	8/2011	Hamilton et al.
8,006,108	B2	8/2011	Brey et al.
8,214,843	B2	7/2012	Boss et al.
8,260,913	B2	9/2012	Knapp et al.
8,374,928	B2	2/2013	Gopisetty et al.
8,447,993	B2	5/2013	Greene et al.
8,571,820	B2	10/2013	Pfeiffer
8,627,123	B2	1/2014	Jain et al.
8,639,392	B2	1/2014	Chassin
8,700,929	B1	4/2014	Weber et al.
8,706,915	B2	4/2014	Duchesneau
8,719,223	B2	5/2014	Knapp et al.
8,789,061	B2	7/2014	Pavel et al.
8,799,690	B2	8/2014	Dawson et al.
8,839,551	B2	9/2014	Swann
9,003,211	B2	4/2015	Pfeiffer
9,003,216	B2	4/2015	Sankar et al.
9,026,814	B2	5/2015	Aasheim et al.
9,027,024	B2	5/2015	Mick et al.
9,143,392	B2	9/2015	Duchesneau
9,207,993	B2	12/2015	Jain
9,218,035	B2	12/2015	Li et al.
9,252,598	B2	2/2016	Belady et al.
9,282,022	B2	3/2016	Matthews et al.
9,416,904	B2	8/2016	Belady et al.
9,542,231	B2	1/2017	Khan et al.
9,552,234	B2	1/2017	Boldyrev et al.
9,618,991	B1	4/2017	Clidaras et al.
9,645,596	B1	5/2017	Lee et al.
9,994,118	B2	6/2018	Williams et al.
10,033,210	B2	7/2018	Peterson et al.
10,250,039	B2	4/2019	Wenzel et al.
10,340,696	B2	7/2019	Paine et al.
10,367,353	B1	7/2019	McNamara et al.
10,367,535	B2	7/2019	Corse et al.
10,444,818	B1	10/2019	McNamara et al.
10,452,127	B1	10/2019	McNamara et al.
10,452,532	B2	10/2019	McVay et al.
10,497,072	B2	12/2019	Hooshmand et al.
10,608,433	B1	3/2020	McNamara et al.
10,618,427	B1	4/2020	McNamara et al.
10,637,353	B2	4/2020	Ohyama et al.
10,709,076	B2	7/2020	Pham
10,795,428	B2	10/2020	Walsh
10,822,992	B2	11/2020	Spears
10,862,307	B2	12/2020	Cavness et al.
10,873,211	B2	12/2020	McNamara et al.
10,931,117	B2	2/2021	Shoemaker
11,016,456	B2	5/2021	Henson et al.
11,016,458	B2	5/2021	McNamara et al.
11,016,553	B2	5/2021	McNamara et al.
11,025,060	B2	6/2021	McNamara et al.
11,031,787	B2	6/2021	McNamara et al.
11,031,813	B2	6/2021	McNamara et al.
11,042,948	B1	6/2021	McNamara et al.
11,128,165	B2	9/2021	McNamara et al.
2002/0158749	A1	10/2002	Ikeda et al.
2003/0037150	A1	2/2003	Nakagawa
2003/0074464	A1	4/2003	Bohrer et al.
2004/0117330	A1	6/2004	Ehlers et al.
2005/0005528	A1	1/2005	Brault et al.
2005/0034128	A1	2/2005	Nagashima et al.
2005/0203761	A1	9/2005	Barr et al.
2006/0059772	A1	3/2006	Brault et al.
2006/0161765	A1	7/2006	Cromer et al.
2006/0253675	A1	11/2006	Johannes Bloks
2007/0067657	A1	3/2007	Ranganathan et al.
2007/0228837	A1	10/2007	Nielsen et al.
2008/0000151	A1	1/2008	Houweling et al.
2008/0030078	A1	2/2008	Whitted et al.
2008/0094797	A1	4/2008	Coglitore et al.
2008/0238195	A1	10/2008	Shaver et al.
2009/0012523	A1	1/2009	Ruuttu et al.
2009/0055665	A1	2/2009	Maglione et al.
2009/0070611	A1	3/2009	Bower, III et al.
2009/0078401	A1	3/2009	Cichanowicz
2009/0089595	A1	4/2009	Brey et al.
2009/0216910	A1	8/2009	Duchesneau
2009/0235097	A1	9/2009	Hamilton et al.
2010/0058350	A1	3/2010	Boss et al.
2010/0211810	A1	8/2010	Zacho
2010/0235004	A1	9/2010	Thind
2010/0280675	A1	11/2010	Tate, Jr. et al.
2010/0328849	A1	12/2010	Ewing et al.
2010/0333113	A1	12/2010	Johnson et al.
2011/0072289	A1	3/2011	Kato
2011/0282982	A1	11/2011	Jain
2012/0000121	A1	1/2012	Swann
2012/0032665	A1	2/2012	Shaver, II et al.
2012/0072745	A1	3/2012	Ahluwalia et al.
2012/0109705	A1	5/2012	Belady et al.
2012/0300524	A1	11/2012	Fornage et al.
2012/0306271	A1	12/2012	Kuriyama
2012/0321309	A1	12/2012	Barry et al.
2012/0326511	A1	12/2012	Johnson
2013/0006401	A1	1/2013	Shan
2013/0054987	A1	2/2013	Pfeiffer et al.
2013/0063991	A1	3/2013	Xiao et al.
2013/0111494	A1	5/2013	Hyser et al.
2013/0117621	A1	5/2013	Saraiya et al.
2013/0187464	A1	7/2013	Smith et al.
2013/0227139	A1	8/2013	Suffling
2013/0328395	A1	12/2013	Krizman et al.
2014/0070756	A1	3/2014	Kearns et al.
2014/0137468	A1	5/2014	Ching
2014/0150336	A1	6/2014	Houweling
2014/0180886	A1	6/2014	Forbes, Jr.
2014/0365402	A1	12/2014	Belady et al.
2014/0379156	A1	12/2014	Kamel et al.
2015/0012113	A1	1/2015	Celebi
2015/0121113	A1	4/2015	Ramamurthy et al.
2015/0155712	A1	6/2015	Mondal

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2015/0212122	A1	7/2015	Sobotka et al.
2015/0229227	A1	8/2015	Aeloiza et al.
2015/0277410	A1	10/2015	Gupta et al.
2015/0278968	A1	10/2015	Steven et al.
2015/0278969	A1	10/2015	Benoy et al.
2015/0280492	A1	10/2015	Narita
2015/0288183	A1	10/2015	Villanueva, Jr. et al.
2015/0372538	A1	12/2015	Siegler et al.
2016/0006066	A1	1/2016	Robertson
2016/0011617	A1	1/2016	Liu et al.
2016/0013652	A1	1/2016	Li et al.
2016/0043552	A1	2/2016	Villanueva, Jr. et al.
2016/0087909	A1	3/2016	Chatterjee et al.
2016/0126783	A1	5/2016	Cheng et al.
2016/0170469	A1	6/2016	Sehgal et al.
2016/0172900	A1	6/2016	Welch, Jr.
2016/0187906	A1	6/2016	Bodas et al.
2016/0198656	A1	7/2016	McNamara et al.
2016/0202744	A1	7/2016	Castro-Leon
2016/0212954	A1	7/2016	Argento
2016/0248631	A1	8/2016	Duchesneau
2016/0261226	A1	9/2016	Hamilton et al.
2016/0324077	A1	11/2016	Frantzen et al.
2016/0377306	A1	12/2016	Drees et al.
2017/0023969	A1	1/2017	Shows et al.
2017/0104332	A1	4/2017	Wenzel et al.
2017/0104336	A1	4/2017	Elbsat et al.
2017/0104337	A1	4/2017	Drees
2017/0104342	A1	4/2017	Eibsat et al.
2017/0104343	A1	4/2017	Eibsat et al.
2017/0192483	A1	7/2017	Boss et al.
2017/0194791	A1	7/2017	Budde
2017/0201098	A1	7/2017	Carpenter
2017/0214070	A1	7/2017	Wang et al.
2017/0237261	A1	8/2017	Maug et al.
2017/0261949	A1	9/2017	Hoffmann et al.
2017/0373500	A1	12/2017	Shafi et al.
2018/0026478	A1	1/2018	Peloso
2018/0052431	A1	2/2018	Shaikh et al.
2018/0116070	A1	4/2018	Broadbent et al.
2018/0144414	A1	5/2018	Lee et al.
2018/0202825	A1	7/2018	You et al.
2018/0240112	A1	8/2018	Castinado et al.
2018/0294649	A1	10/2018	Bright et al.
2018/0366978	A1	12/2018	Matan et al.
2018/0367320	A1	12/2018	Montalvo
2019/0052094	A1	2/2019	Pmsvvsv et al.
2019/0082618	A1	3/2019	Lopez
2019/0168630	A1	6/2019	Mrlik et al.
2019/0258307	A1	8/2019	Shaikh et al.
2019/0261589	A1	8/2019	Pham
2019/0280521	A1	9/2019	Lundstrom et al.
2019/0318327	A1	10/2019	Sowell et al.
2019/0324820	A1	10/2019	Krishnan et al.
2020/0040272	A1	2/2020	Cavness et al.
2020/0051184	A1	2/2020	Barbour
2020/0073466	A1	3/2020	Walsh
2020/0089307	A1	3/2020	McNamara et al.
2020/0136387	A1	4/2020	McNamara et al.
2020/0136388	A1	4/2020	McNamara et al.
2020/0167197	A1	5/2020	Bahramshahry et al.
2020/0177100	A1	6/2020	Wang et al.
2020/0318843	A1	10/2020	Wenzel et al.
2020/0321776	A1	10/2020	Shaver, II et al.
2020/0379537	A1	12/2020	Henson et al.
2021/0021135	A1	1/2021	Eibsat et al.
2021/0175710	A1	6/2021	Campbell et al.
2021/0287309	A1	9/2021	Gebhardt et al.
2021/0298195	A1	9/2021	Barbour
2022/0039333	A1	2/2022	Avila

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN	102591921	A	7/2012
CN	103163904	A	6/2013
CN	103748757	A	4/2014
CN	104144183	A	11/2014
CN	104969434	A	10/2015
CN	106226718	A	12/2016
CN	107967536	A	4/2018
EP	3850462	A1	7/2021
KR	20090012523	A	2/2009
WO	WO-2008039773	A2	4/2008
WO	WO-2014005156	A2	1/2014
WO	WO-2015039122	A1	3/2015
WO	WO-2015199629	A1	12/2015
WO	WO-2017163126	A1	9/2017
WO	WO-2018068042	A1	4/2018
WO	WO-2019116375	A1	6/2019
WO	WO-2019139632	A1	7/2019
WO	WO-2019139633	A1	7/2019
WO	WO-2020056322	A1	3/2020
WO	WO-2020227811	A1	11/2020
WO	WO-2022031836	A1	2/2022

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Advisory Action dated Oct. 22, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 3 pages.

Bakar et al., "Microgrid and Load Shedding Scheme During Islanded Mode: a Review," Elsevier, May 26, 2020, vol. 71, pp. 161-169. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032116311030.

Bird et al., "Wind and Solar Energy Curtailment: Experience and Practices in the United States," National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL), Technical Report NREL/TP-6A20-60983, Mar. 2014, 58 pages.

Choi et al., "Optimal Load Shedding for Maximizing Satisfaction in an Islanded Microgrid," Energies, 2017, vol. 10, pp. 45, doi: 10.3390/en10010045.

EPEX Spot, "How They Occur, What They Mean," 2018, 2 pages. Retrieved from Internet:[URL:https://www.epexspot.com/en/company-info/basics_of_the_power_market/negative_prices].

Final Office Action dated Jul. 23, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,062, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 26 pages.

Final Office Action dated May 19, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/809,111, filed Mar. 4, 2020, 36 pages.

Final Office Action dated Jun. 3, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 33 pages.

Final Office Action dated May 28, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,098, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 24 pages.

Final Office Action dated Oct. 1, 2019 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,246, filed Oct. 30, 2018, 18 pages.

Final Office Action dated Apr. 17, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,402, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 59 pages.

Final Office Action dated Jul. 29, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,092, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 5 pages.

Gao et al., "Dynamic Load Shedding for an Islanded Microgrid With Limited Generation Resources," IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, Sep. 2016, vol. 10(12), pp. 2953-2961. doi: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2015.1452.

Ghamkhari et al., "Optimal Integration of Renewable Energy Resources in Data Centers with Behind-the-Meter Renewable Generator," Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering Texas Tech University, 2012, pp. 3340-3444.

Hayes, Adam S., "A Cost of Production Model for Bitcoin," Department of Economics, The New School for Social Research, Mar. 2015, 5 pages.

International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US2018/017955, dated Apr. 30, 2018, 22 pages. <iframe class="ginger-extension-definitionpopup" Src="chrome-extension://kdfieneakcjfaiglcfcgkidlkmlijjnh/content/popups/definitionPopup/index.html?title=filed&description=record%20in%20a%20public%20office%20or%20in%20a%20court%20of%20law" style="left: 396px; top: -116px; z-index: 100001; display: none;"></iframe>.

International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US2018/017950, dated May 31, 2018, 15 pages.

(56) **References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US2020/044536, dated Aug. 26, 2020, 24 pages.
International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US2020/044539, dated Aug. 26, 2020, 7 pages.
International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US2021/019875, dated Apr. 29, 2021, 12 pages.
International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US20/57686, dated Feb. 22, 2021, 67 pages.
ISO, “Distributed Energy Resources Roadmap for New York's Wholesale Electricity Markets,” Distributed Energy Resource Roadmap, Jan. 2017, pp. 1-39. [retrieved on Dec. 15, 2020], Retrieved from the Internet:<url:<a=“”href=“https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1391862/Distributed_Energy_Resources_Roadmap.pdf/ec0b3b64-4de2-73e0-ffef-49a4b8b1”>https://www.nyiso.com/documents/20142/1391862/Distributed_Energy_Resources_ Roadmap.pdf/ec0b3b64-4de2-73e0-ffef-49a4b8b1 b3ca.</url:>.
John, “Stem and CPower to Combine Behind-the-Meter Batteries and Demand Response,” Energy Storage, Aug. 8, 2017, 5 pages.
Kewl, “Start-Up From the Heart of Berlin Has Pioneered Decentralized Mobile Mining by Combining Blockchain With Regenerative Energy” Nov. 2017, 3 pages pdf http://www.crypto-news.net/start-up-from-the-heart-of-berlin-has-pioneered-decentralized-mobile-mining-by-combining-blockchain-with-regenerative-energy/ (Year: 2017).
Lim et al., “Distributed Load-shedding System for Agent-based Autonomous Microgrid Operations,” Energies, 2014, vol. 7(1), pp. 385-401. doi: 10.3390/en7010385.
Liu et al., “Improved Average Consensus Algorithm Based Distributed Cost Optimization for Loading Shedding of Autonomous Microgrids,” International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, Dec. 2015, vol. 73, pp. 89-96. doi: 10.1016/j.ijepes.2015.04.006.
McNamara et al., U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,246, dated Oct. 30, 2018, 64 pages.
Mousavizadeh et al., “A Linear Two-stage Method for Resiliency Analysis in Distribution Systems Considering Renewable Energy and Demand Response Resources,” Elsevier, 2017, pp. 443-460. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.11.067.
Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 5, 2019 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,360, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 72 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 10, 2019 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/596,190, filed Oct. 8, 2019, 72 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Jun. 12, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/803,109, filed Dec. 27, 2020, 31 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Nov. 14, 2019 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,098, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 25 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Feb. 20, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/702,894, filed Dec. 4, 2019, 30 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Nov. 21, 2019 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,402, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 57 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Feb. 4, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/284,610, filed Feb. 25, 2019, 9 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 1, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/482,495, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 59 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Apr. 2, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,011, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 5 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Mar. 8, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/525,142, filed Jul. 29, 2019, 71 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 11, 2019 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,062, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 17 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Feb. 12, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 54 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated May 14, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/834,987, filed Mar. 30, 2020, 30 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Mar. 25, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/573,577, filed Sep. 17, 2019, 65 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 10, 2019 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 33 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated May 11, 2021 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,360, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 64 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated May 28, 2021 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/658,983, filed Oct. 21, 2019, 21 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Mar. 30, 2020 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,092, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 46 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated May 12, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,062, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 2 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 13, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,098, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 12, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/834,987, filed Mar. 30, 2020, 9 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jun. 9, 2021 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 11 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 8, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,062, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 21 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 8, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/803,109, filed Feb. 27, 2020, 29 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 8, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/834,987, filed Mar. 30, 2020, 180 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 13, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,246, filed Oct. 30, 2018, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 17, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,246, filed Oct. 30, 2018, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Nov. 19, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,062, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 7 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 20, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/482,495, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 25, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,098, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 25, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/702,894, filed Dec. 4, 2019, 24 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 27, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,092, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 8 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated May 27, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/284,610, filed Feb. 25, 2019, 16 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 29, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,011, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 29, 2020 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/132,092, filed Sep. 14, 2018, 8 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 2, 2019, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,335, filed Oct. 30, 2018, 12 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Mar. 2, 2020, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/596,190, filed Oct. 8, 2019, 15 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 6, 2020, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,246, filed Oct. 30, 2018, 12 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 15, 2019, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/175,146, filed Oct. 30, 2018, 17 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 27, 2020, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/702,931, filed Dec. 4, 2019, 23 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 29, 2019, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/245,532, filed Jan. 11, 2019, 13 pages.
Pashajavid et al., “A Multimode Supervisory Control Scheme for Coupling Remote Droop-Regulated Microgrids,” IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, May 26, 2020, vol. 9(5), pp. 5381-5392. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7888570/.
Pashajavid et al., “Frequency Support for Remote Microgrid Systems With Intermittent Distributed Energy Resources—A Two-level Hierarchical Strategy,” IEEE Systems Journal, May 26, 2020, vol. 12(3), pp. 2760-2771. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7862156/.
Rahimi, Farrokh, “Using a Transactive Energy Framework,” IEEE Electrification Magazine, Dec. 2016, pp. 23-29.
Rudez and Mihalic, “Predictive Underfrequency Load Shedding Scheme for Islanded Power Systems With Renewable Generation,” Electric Power Systems Research, May 2015, vol. 126, pp. 21-28. doi: 10.1016/j.epsr.2015.04.017.
Soluna., “Powering the Block Chain,” Aug. 2018, version 1.1, 29 pages.
Wilson, Joseph Nathanael, “A Utility-Scale Deployment Project of Behind-the-Meter Energy Storage for Use in Ancillary Services,

(56) **References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Energy Resiliency, Grid Infrastructure Investment Deferment, and Demand-Response Integration," Portland State University, 2016, 154 pages.
Xu et al., "Distributed Load Shedding for Microgrid With Compensation Support via Wireless Network," IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution, May 2018, vol. 12(9), pp. 2006-2018. doi: 10.1049/iet-gtd.2017.1029.
Zhou et al., "Two-Stage Load Shedding for Secondary Control in Hierarchical Operation of Islanded Microgrids," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, May 2019, vol. 10(3), pp. 3103-3111. doi: 10.1109/TSG.2018.2817738.
U.S. Appl. No. 16/834,987, filed Mar. 30, 2020.
European Patent Application No. 18900411.2, Extended European Search Report dated Dec. 13, 2021.
European Patent Application No. EP18900411.2, Partial Supplementary European Search Report dated Sep. 9, 2021.
Final Office Action dated Jan. 6, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,360, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 40 pages.
Final Office Action dated Aug. 9, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,402, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 43 pages.
Final Office Action dated Aug. 9, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/573,577, filed Sep. 17, 2019, 16 pages.
Final Office Action dated Jul. 9, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/525,142, filed Jul. 29, 2019, 18 pages.
Ghatikar et al., "Demand Response Opportunities and Enabling Technologies for DataCenters: Findings from Field Studies," Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Aug. 2012, 57 pages.
Huang et al., "Data Center Energy Cost Optimization in Smart Grid: a Review," Journal of Zhejiang University (Engineering Science), 2016, vol. 50 (12), pp. 2386-2399.
International Search Report and Written Opinion of PCT Application No. PCT/US2021/045972, dated Nov. 15, 2021, 16 pages.
Li et al., "iSwitch: Coordinating and Optimizing Renewable Energy Powered Server Clusters," 2012 39th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture, Jun. 2012, pp. 512-523.
Non-Final Office Action dated Dec. 24, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 17/128,830, filed Dec. 21, 2020, 4 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jul. 26, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/284,610, filed Feb. 25, 2019, 2 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Oct. 8, 2021 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 3 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 2, 2022, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/525,142, filed Jul. 29, 2019, 5 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Feb. 3, 2022, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/573,577, filed Sep. 17, 2019, 8 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 5, 2022, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/658,983, filed Oct. 21, 2019, 14 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 24, 2022, for U.S. Appl. No. 16/525,142, filed Jul. 29, 2019, 9 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Sep. 24, 2021 for U.S. Appl. No. 16/528,348, filed Jul. 31, 2019, 6 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Jan. 26, 2022, for U.S. Appl. No. 17/328,275, filed May 24, 2021, 10 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Apr. 18, 2022, for U.S. Appl. No. 17/128,830, filed Dec. 21, 2020, 7 pages.
Wang et al., "SHIP: Scalable Hierarchical Power Control for Large-scale Data Centers," 2009 18th International Conference onParallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques, Sep. 2009, pp. 91-100.
European Patent Application No. 19878191.6, Extended European Search Report dated Jul. 4, 2022.
European Patent Application No. 19858739.6, Extended European Search Report dated May 31, 2022.
European Patent Application No. 19858812.1, Extended European Search Report dated May 2, 2022.
European Patent Application No. 19861222.8, Extended European Search Report dated May 2, 2022.
European Patent Application No. 19861223.6, Extended European Search Report dated Apr. 19, 2022.
European Patent Application No. 19877576.9, Extended European Search Report dated Jun. 3, 2022.
Ghamkhari et al., "Energy and Performance Management of Green Data Centers: A Profit Maximization Approach," IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, Jun. 2013, vol. 4 (2), pp. 1017-1025.
Kiani et al., "Profit Maximization for Geographical Dispersed Green Data Centers," Arxiv.org, Cornell University Library, 201 Olin Library Cornell University Ithaca, Apr. 2015, pp. 1-5.
Notice of Allowance dated May 31, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,402, filed Aug. 1, 2019 13 pages.
Wierman et al., "Opportunities and Challenges for Data Center Demand Response," International Green Computing Conference,IEEE, Nov. 2014, pp. 1-10.
ERCOT, Business Procedures, Load Resource Qualification, Initial Qualification and Periodic Testing, Controllable Load Qualification Test Procedure for Ancillary Services (Jun. 1, 2014).
ERCOT, Business Procedures, Load Resource Qualification, Non-Controllable Load Resource Qualification and Testing Procedure, V1.1 (Apr. 1, 2011).
ERCOT, Controllable Load Resource (CLR) Participation in the ERCOT Market (Dec. 20, 2007).
ERCOT, Emergency Response Service Technical Requirements & Scope of Work, Oct. 1, 2018 through Jan. 31, 2019.
ERCOT, ERS QSE Training 101, Updated Apr. 6, 2022.
ERCOT, Large Flexible Load Resource Participation in the ERCOT Region, presentation to Large Flexible Load Task Force (Apr. 26, 2022).
ERCOT, Load Resource Participation in the ERCOT Region, presentation (Sep. 27, 2022).
ERCOT, Nodal Protocols (Oct. 18, 2019)—Applicant particularly notes the following pp. 2-4, 2-5, 2-15, 2-17, 2-24 to 26, 2-28, 2-29, 2-38, 2-41,2-51,2-52, 2-58, 2-62 to 63, 2-67, 2-69, 3-77 to 80, 3-176 to 3-186, 3-208 to 213, 3-214 to 216, 4-1 to 4, 4-10, 4-20, 4-25 to 27, 4-59 to 62, 4-64 to 67, 6-100 to 116, 8-1 to 58.
European Patent Application No. 22157111.0, Extended European Search Report dated Aug. 17, 2022.
European Patent Application No. 20738289.6, Extended European Search Report dated Aug. 8, 2022.
Non-Final Office Action dated Oct. 6, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 17/331,440, filed May 26, 2021, 4 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Sep. 22, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/961,386, filed Jul. 10, 2020, 52 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Aug. 25, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 16/529,360, filed Aug. 1, 2019, 91 pages.
Non-Final Office Action dated Sep. 29, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 17/353,285, filed Jun. 21, 2021, 16 pages.
Notice of Allowance dated Aug. 10, 2022 on for U.S. Appl. No. 17/328,337, filed May 24, 2021, 9 pages.



PRIOR ART
FIGURE 1


GENERATION
TRANSMISSION
DISTRIBUTION
POI
STEP DOWN
POWER GENERATION EQUIPMENT
GEN. STATION CONTROL SYSTEM
ENERGY STORAGE
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM
TRADITIONAL DATACENTER
TRADITIONAL DATACENTER
TRADITIONAL DATACENTER
102
103
104
104a
105
106
202
203
205
206
206A
210
212
213
214
216
216A
216B
216C
218
218A
220
220A
220B
230A
230B
232A
232B
234A
234B
236A
236B
240A
240B
240C
242
242A
242B
250
252
253
253A
254
256
256A
256B
260
262

FIGURE 2


300
304
DATA STORAGE UNIT
308
USER INTERFACE
312
QUEUE SYSTEM
302
PROCESSOR
306
COMMUNICATION INTERFACE
310
OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS MODULE
314
REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM

FIGURE 3


400
408
COMMUNICATION INTERFACE
410
USER INTERFACE
414
CONTROL SYSTEM
GENERATION STATION
412
401
POWER GENERATION EQUIPMENT
402
POWER TRANSFORMATION EQUIPMENT
404
GRID INTERFACE
406
BEHIND-THE-METER INTERFACE

FIGURE 4


500
510
512
516
518
520
514
QUEUE SYSTEM
CENTRAL PROCESSING UNITS (CPUs)
GRAPHICS PROCESSING UNITS (GPUs)
APPLICATION-SPECIFIC INTEGRATED CIRCUITS (ASICs)
COMPUTING SYSTEMS
QUEUE SYSTEM
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
528
BEHIND-THE-METER
GRID
ENERGY STORAGE
POWER INPUT SYSTEM
COMMUNICATION INTERFACE
DATACENTER CONTROL SYSTEM
POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM
CLIMATE CONTROL SYSTEM
502
522
524
526
503
504
506
508

FIGURE 5


500
502
602
504
606
608
506
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
604
508
512
508

FIGURE 6A



FIGURE 6B


700
600
POWER INPUT SYSTEM
502
714
DATACENTER CONTROL SYSTEM
504
708
GRID OPERATOR
712
414
GEN. STATION CONTROL SYS.
710
REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM
300
702
706

FIGURE 7


800
806B
LOCAL STATION CONTROL SYSTEM
300
REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM
806A
LOCAL STATION CONTROL SYSTEM
804
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
802
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER

FIGURE 8



1000
500
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
POWER INPUT SYSTEM
502
POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM
506
COMPUTING SYSTEMS
512
DATACENTER CONTROL SYSTEM
504
300
REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM
922
924
918
916
920A
312
QUEUE SYSTEM
920B
902
TRADITIONAL DATACENTER
POWER INPUT SYSTEM
930
POWER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM
932
COMPUTING SYSTEMS
934
DATACENTER CONTROL SYSTEM
936

FIGURE 9


1000
MONITORING B-T-M POWER AVAILABILITY
1010
DETERMINING WHEN A DATACENTER RAMP-UP CONDITION IS MET
1020
ENABLING B-T-M POWER DELIVERY TO ONE OR MORE COMPUTING SYSTEMS
1030
DIRECTING ONE OR MORE COMPUTING SYSTEMS TO PERFORM COMPUTATIONAL OPERATIONS
1040

FIGURE 10A


1050
MONITORING B-T-M POWER AVAILABILITY
1060
DETERMINING WHEN A DATACENTER RAMP-DOWN CONDITION IS MET
1070
DISABLING B-T-M POWER DELIVERY TO ONE OR MORE COMPUTING SYSTEMS
1080
REMAINING POWERED AND IN COMMUNICATION WITH REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM
1090

FIGURE 10B


1100
1102
FIRST SET
SECOND SET
THIRD SET
COMPUTING SYSTEMS
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
1114A
1114B
1114C
1108
1104
FIRST SET
SECOND SET
THIRD SET
COMPUTING SYSTEMS
FLEXIBLE DATACENTER
1116A
1116B
1116C
1110
1106
FIRST SET
SECOND SET
THIRD SET
COMPUTING SYSTEMS
TRADITIONAL DATACENTER
1118A
1118B
1118C
1112
1130
1140
1142
FIXED-DURATION
DYNAMIC
POWER OPTION MODULE
POWER ENTITY
1144
1146
POWER AVAILABILITY
POWER PRICES
COMPUTING SYSTEMS PARAMETERS
CRYPTOCURRENCY PRICES
COMPUTATIONAL OPERATIONS PARAMETERS
WEATHER CONDITIONS
OPERATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT ANALYSIS MODULE
REMOTE MASTER CONTROL SYSTEM
1120
1122
1124
310
262
1126
1128
1129

FIGURE 11



1200
1202
1204
1206
1206A
1206B
1206C
POWER (MW)
15
10
5
0
4
8
12
16
20
24
TIME (H)

FIGURE 12


1300
MONITOR A SET OF CONDITIONS
1302
RECEIVE POWER OPTION DATA BASED, AT LEAST IN PART, ON A POWER OPTION AGREEMENT
1304
DETERMINE A PERFORMANCE STRATEGY FOR A SET OF COMPUTING SYSTEMS BASED ON A COMBINATION OF AT LEAST A PORTION OF THE POWER OPTION DATA AND AT LEAST ONE CONDITION IN THE SET OF CONDITIONS
1306
PROVIDE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE SET OF COMPUTING SYSTEMS TO PERFORM ONE OR MORE COMPUTATIONAL OPERATIONS BASED ON THE PERFORMANCE STRATEGY
1308

FIGURE 13


1400
MONITOR A SET OF CONDITIONS
1402
WHILE MONITORING THE SET OF CONDITIONS, RECEIVE FIRST POWER OPTION DATA BASED, AT LEAST IN PART, ON A POWER OPTION AGREEMENT
1404
RESPONSIVE TO RECEIVING THE FIRST POWER OPTION DATA, PROVIDE FIRST CONTROL INSTRUCTIONS FOR A SET OF COMPUTING SYSTEMS BASED ON A COMBINATION OF AT LEAST A PORTION OF THE FIRST POWER OPTION DATA AND AT LEAST ONE CONDITION
1406
WHILE MONITORING THE SET OF CONDITIONS, RECEIVE SECOND POWER OPTION DATA BASED, AT LEAST IN PART, ON THE POWER OPTION AGREEMENT
1408
RESPONSIVE TO RECEIVING THE SECOND POWER OPTION DATA, PROVIDE SECOND CONTROL INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SET OF COMPUTING SYSTEMS BASED ON A COMBINATION OF AT LEAST A PORTION OF THE SECOND POWER OPTION DATA AND AT LEAST ONE CONDITION
1410

FIGURE 14

METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR ADJUSTING POWER CONSUMPTION BASED ON A FIXED-DURATION POWER OPTION AGREEMENT

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application is a Continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/834,987, filed Mar. 30, 2020, which is a Continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/702,931, filed Dec. 4, 2019 (Now U.S. Pat. No. 10,608,433), which claims the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/927,119, filed Oct. 28, 2019. The disclosures set forth in the referenced applications are incorporated herein by reference in their entireties.

FIELD

This specification relates to power consumption adjustments when using grid power and/or intermittent behind-the-meter power.

BACKGROUND

"Electrical grid" or "grid," as used herein, refers to a Wide Area Synchronous Grid (also known as an Interconnection), and is a regional scale or greater electric power grid that that operates at a synchronized frequency and is electrically tied together during normal system conditions. An electrical grid delivers electricity from generation stations to consumers. An electrical grid includes: (i) generation stations that produce electrical power at large scales for delivery through the grid, (ii) high voltage transmission lines that carry that power from the generation stations to demand centers, and (iii) distribution networks carry that power to individual customers.

FIG. **1** illustrates a typical electrical grid, such as a North American Interconnection or the synchronous grid of Continental Europe (formerly known as the UCTE grid). The electrical grid of FIG. **1** can be described with respect to the various segments that make up the grid.

A generation segment **102** includes one or more generation stations that produce utility-scale electricity (typically >50 MW), such as a nuclear plant **102***a*, a coal plant **102***b*, a wind power station (i.e., wind farm) **102***c*, and/or a photovoltaic power station (i.e., a solar farm) **102***d*. Generation stations are differentiated from building-mounted and other decentralized or local wind or solar power applications because they supply power at the utility level and scale (>50 MW), rather than to a local user or users. The primary purpose of generation stations is to produce power for distribution through the grid, and in exchange for payment for the supplied electricity. Each of the generation stations **102***a-d* includes power generation equipment **102***e-h*, respectively, typically capable of supply utility-scale power (>50 MW). For example, the power generation equipment **102***g* at wind power station **102***c* includes wind turbines, and the power generation equipment **102***h* at photovoltaic power station **102***d* includes photovoltaic panels.

Each of the generation stations **102***a-d* may further include station electrical equipment **102***i-l* respectively. Station electrical equipment **102***i-l* are each illustrated in FIG. **1** as distinct elements for simplified illustrative purposes only and may, alternatively or additionally, be distributed throughout the power generation equipment, **102***e-h*, respectively. For example, at wind power station **102***c*, each wind turbine may include transformers, frequency converters, power converters, and/or electrical filters. Energy generated at each wind turbine may be collected by distribution lines along strings of wind turbines and move through collectors, switches, transformers, frequency converters, power converters, electrical filters, and/or other station electrical equipment before leaving the wind power station **102***c*. Similarly, at photovoltaic power station **102***d*, individual photovoltaic panels and/or arrays of photovoltaic panels may include inverters, transformers, frequency converters, power converters, and/or electrical filters. Energy generated at each photovoltaic panel and/or array may be collected by distribution lines along the photovoltaic panels and move through collectors, switches, transformers, frequency converters, power converters, electrical filters, and/or other station electrical equipment before leaving the photovoltaic power station **102***d*.

Each generation station **102***a-d* may produce AC or DC electrical current which is then typically stepped up to a higher AC voltage before leaving the respective generation station. For example, wind turbines may typically produce AC electrical energy at 600V to 700V, which may then be stepped up to 34.5 kV before leaving the generation station **102***d*. In some cases, the voltage may be stepped up multiple times and to a different voltage before exiting the generation station **102***c*. As another example, photovoltaic arrays may produce DC voltage at 600V to 900V, which is then inverted to AC voltage and may be stepped up to 34.5 kV before leaving the generation station **102***d*. In some cases, the voltage may be stepped up multiple times and to a different voltage before exiting the generation station **102***d*.

Upon exiting the generation segment **102**, electrical power generated at generation stations **102***a-d* passes through a respective Point of Interconnection ("POI") **103** between a generation station (e.g., **102***a-d*) and the rest of the grid. A respective POI **103** represents the point of connection between a generation station's (e.g. **102***a-d*) equipment and a transmission system (e.g., transmission segment **104**) associated with electrical grid. In some cases, at the POI **103**, generated power from generation stations **102***a-d* may be stepped up at transformer systems **103***e-h* to high voltage scales suitable for long-distance transmission along transmission lines **104***a*. Typically, the generated electrical energy leaving the POI **103** will be at 115 kV AC or above, but in some cases it may be as low as, for example, 69 kV for shorter distance transmissions along transmission lines **104***a*. Each of transformer systems **103***e-h* may be a single transformer or may be multiple transformers operating in parallel or series and may be co-located or located in geographically distinct locations. Each of the transformer systems **103***e-h* may include substations and other links between the generation stations **102***a-d* and the transmission lines **104***a*.

A key aspect of the POI **103** is that this is where generation-side metering occurs. One or more utility-scale generation-side meters **103***a-d* (e.g., settlement meters) are located at settlement metering points at the respective POI **103** for each generation station **102***a-d*. The utility-scale generation-side meters **103***a-d* measure power supplied from generation stations **102***a-d* into the transmission segment **104** for eventual distribution throughout the grid.

For electricity consumption, the price consumers pay for power distributed through electric power grids is typically composed of, among other costs, Generation, Administration, and Transmission & Distribution ("T&D") costs. T&D costs represent a significant portion of the overall price paid

by consumers for electricity. These costs include capital costs (land, equipment, substations, wire, etc.), costs associated with electrical transmission losses, and operation and maintenance costs.

For utility-scale electricity supply, operators of generation stations (e.g., **102***a-d*) are paid a variable market price for the amount of power the operator generates and provides to the grid, which is typically determined via a power purchase agreement (PPA) between the generation station operator and a grid operator. The amount of power the generation station operator generates and provides to the grid is measured by utility-scale generation-side meters (e.g., **103***a-d*) at settlement metering points. As illustrated in FIG. **1**, the utility-scale generation-side meters **103***a-d* are shown on a low side of the transformer systems **103***e-h*), but they may alternatively be located within the transformer systems **103***e-h* or on the high side of the transformer systems **103***e-h*. A key aspect of a utility-scale generation-side meter is that it is able to meter the power supplied from a specific generation station into the grid. As a result, the grid operator can use that information to calculate and process payments for power supplied from the generation station to the grid. That price paid for the power supplied from the generation station is then subject to T&D costs, as well as other costs, in order to determine the price paid by consumers.

After passing through the utility-scale generation-side meters in the POI **103**, the power originally generated at the generation stations **102***a-d* is transmitted onto and along the transmission lines **104***a* in the transmission segment **104**. Typically, the electrical energy is transmitted as AC at 115 kV+ or above, though it may be as low as 69 kV for short transmission distances. In some cases, the transmission segment **104** may include further power conversions to aid in efficiency or stability. For example, transmission segment **104** may include high-voltage DC ("HVDC") portions (along with conversion equipment) to aid in frequency synchronization across portions of the transmission segment **104**. As another example, transmission segment **104** may include transformers to step AC voltage up and then back down to aid in long distance transmission (e.g., 230 kV, 500 kV, 765 kV, etc.).

Power generated at the generation stations **104***a-d* is ultimately destined for use by consumers connected to the grid. Once the energy has been transmitted along the transmission segment **104**, the voltage will be stepped down by transformer systems **105***a-c* in the step down segment **105** so that it can move into the distribution segment **106**.

In the distribution segment **106**, distribution networks **106***a-c* take power that has been stepped down from the transmission lines **104***a* and distribute it to local customers, such as local sub-grids (illustrated at **106***a*), industrial customers, including large EV charging networks (illustrated at **106***b*), and/or residential and retail customers, including individual EV charging stations (illustrated at **106***c*). Customer meters **106***d*, **106***f* measure the power used by each of the grid-connected customers in distribution networks **106***a-c*. Customer meters **106***d* are typically load meters that are unidirectional and measure power use. Some of the local customers in the distribution networks **106***a-d* may have local wind or solar power systems **106***e* owned by the customer. As discussed above, these local customer power systems **106***e* are decentralized and supply power directly to the customer(s). Customers with decentralized wind or solar power systems **106***e* may have customer meters **106***f* that are bidirectional or net-metering meters that can track when the local customer power systems **106***e* produce power in excess of the customer's use, thereby allowing the utility to provide a credit to the customer's monthly electricity bill. Customer meters **106***d*, **106***f* differ from utility-scale generation-side meters (e.g., settlement meters) in at least the following characteristics: design (electro-mechanical or electronic vs current transformer), scale (typically less than 1600 amps vs. typically greater than 50 MW; typically less than 600V vs. typically greater than 14 kV), primary function (use vs. supply metering), economic purpose (credit against use vs payment for power), and location (in a distribution network at point of use vs. at a settlement metering point at a Point of Interconnection between a generation station and a transmission line).

To maintain stability of the grid, the grid operator strives to maintain a balance between the amount of power entering the grid from generation stations (e.g., **102***a-d*) and the amount of grid power used by loads (e.g., customers in the distribution segment **106**). In order to maintain grid stability and manage congestion, grid operators may take steps to reduce the supply of power arriving from generation stations (e.g., **102***a-d*) when necessary (e.g., curtailment). Particularly, grid operators may decrease the market price paid for generated power to dis-incentivize generation stations (e.g., **102***a-d*) from generating and supplying power to the grid. In some cases, the market price may even go negative such that generation station operators must pay for power they allow into the grid. In addition, some situations may arise where grid operators explicitly direct a generation station (e.g., **102***a-d*) to reduce or stop the amount of power the station is supplying to the grid.

Power market fluctuations, power system conditions (e.g., power factor fluctuation or generation station startup and testing), and operational directives resulting in reduced or discontinued generation all can have disparate effects on renewal energy generators and can occur multiple times in a day and last for indeterminate periods of time. Curtailment, in particular, is particularly problematic.

According to the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's Technical Report TP-6A20-60983 (March 2014):

> [C]urtailment [is] a reduction in the output of a generator from what it could otherwise produce given available resources (e.g., wind or sunlight), typically on an involuntary basis. Curtailments can result when operators or utilities command wind and solar generators to reduce output to minimize transmission congestion or otherwise manage the system or achieve the optimal mix of resources. Curtailment of wind and solar resources typically occurs because of transmission congestion or lack of transmission access, but it can also occur for reasons such as excess generation during low load periods that could cause baseload generators to reach minimum generation thresholds, because of voltage or interconnection issues, or to maintain frequency requirements, particularly for small, isolated grids. Curtailment is one among many tools to maintain system energy balance, which can also include grid capacity, hydropower and thermal generation, demand response, storage, and institutional changes. Deciding which method to use is primarily a matter of economics and operational practice.
>
> "Curtailment" today does not necessarily mean what it did in the early 2000s. Two separate changes in the electric sector have shaped curtailment practices since that time: the utility-scale deployment of wind power, which has no fuel cost, and the evolution of wholesale power markets. These simultaneous changes have led to new operational challenges but have also expanded the array of market-based tools for addressing them.

Practices vary significantly by region and market design. In places with centrally-organized wholesale power markets and experience with wind power, manual wind energy curtailment processes are increasingly being replaced by transparent offer-based market mechanisms that base dispatch on economics. Market protocols that dispatch generation based on economics can also result in renewable energy plants generating less than what they could potentially produce with available wind or sunlight. This is often referred to by grid operators by other terms, such as "downward dispatch." In places served primarily by vertically integrated utilities, power purchase agreements (PPAs) between the utility and the wind developer increasingly contain financial provisions for curtailment contingencies.

Some reductions in output are determined by how a wind operator values dispatch versus non-dispatch. Other curtailments of wind are determined by the grid operator in response to potential reliability events. Still other curtailments result from overdevelopment of wind power in transmission-constrained areas.

Dispatch below maximum output (curtailment) can be more of an issue for wind and solar generators than it is for fossil generation units because of differences in their cost structures. The economics of wind and solar generation depend on the ability to generate electricity whenever there is sufficient sunlight or wind to power their facilities.

Because wind and solar generators have substantial capital costs but no fuel costs (i.e., minimal variable costs), maximizing output improves their ability to recover capital costs. In contrast, fossil generators have higher variable costs, such as fuel costs. Avoiding these costs can, depending on the economics of a specific generator, to some degree reduce the financial impact of curtailment, especially if the generator's capital costs are included in a utility's rate base.

Curtailment may result in available energy being wasted because solar and wind operators have zero variable cost (which may not be true to the same extent for fossil generation units which can simply reduce the amount of fuel that is being used). With wind generation, in particular, it may also take some time for a wind farm to become fully operational following curtailment. As such, until the time that the wind farm is fully operational, the wind farm may not be operating with optimum efficiency and/or may not be able to provide power to the grid.

SUMMARY

In an example, a system includes a set of computing systems. The set of computing systems is configured to perform computational operations using power from a power grid. The system also includes a control system configured to monitor a set of conditions and, while monitoring the set of conditions, receive first power option data based, at least in part, on a power option agreement. The first power option data specify a first minimum power threshold associated with a first time interval. The control system is further configured to provide first control instructions for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the first power option data and at least one condition of the set of conditions responsive to receiving the first power option data. The first control instructions comprises a first power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the first time interval, and the first power consumption target is equal to or greater than the first minimum power threshold associated with the first time interval. The control system is also configured to, while monitoring the set of conditions, receive second power option data based, at least in part, on the power option agreement. The second power option data specify a second minimum power threshold associated with a second time interval. Responsive to receiving the second power option data, the control system is configured to provide second control instructions for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the second power data and at least one condition of the set of conditions. The second control instructions comprises a second power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the second time interval, and wherein the second power consumption target is equal to or greater than the second minimum power threshold associated with the second time interval.

In another example, a method involves monitoring, at a computing system, a set of conditions, and while monitoring the set of conditions, receiving first power option data based, at least in part, on a power option agreement. The first power option data specify a first minimum power threshold associated with a first time interval. The method further involves, responsive to receiving the first power option data, providing first control instructions for a set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the first power option data and at least one condition of the set of conditions. The first control instructions comprises a first power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the first time interval, and the first power consumption target is equal to or greater than the first minimum power threshold associated with the first time interval. The method further involves, while monitoring the set of conditions, receiving second power option data based, at least in part, on the power option agreement. The second power option data specify a second minimum power threshold associated with a second time interval. The method also involves, responsive to receiving the second power option data, providing second control instructions for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the second power data and at least one condition of the set of conditions. The second control instructions comprises a second power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the second time interval, and the second power consumption target is equal to or greater than the second minimum power threshold associated with the second time interval.

In yet another example, a system is provided. The system includes a set of computing systems, where the set of computing systems is configured to perform computational operations using power from a power grid. The system also includes a control system configured to monitor a set of conditions and receive power option data based, at least in part, on a power option agreement. The power option data specify: (i) a set of minimum power thresholds, and (ii) a set of time intervals, where each minimum power threshold in the set of minimum power thresholds is associated with a time interval in the set of time intervals. The control system is further configured to, responsive to receiving the power option data, determine a performance strategy for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the power option data and at least one condition in the set of conditions. The performance strategy comprises a power consumption target for the set of computing systems for each time interval in the set of time intervals, where each power consumption target is equal to or greater than the minimum power threshold associated with each time interval. The control system is also configured to provide instruc-

tions to the set of computing systems to perform one or more computational operations based on the performance strategy.

In a further example, non-transitory computer-readable medium is described that is configured to store instructions, that when executed by a computing system, causes the computing system to perform operations consistent with the method steps described above.

Other aspects of the present invention will be apparent from the following description and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. **1** shows a typical electrical grid.

FIG. **2** shows a behind-the-meter arrangement with optional grid power, including one or more flexible datacenters, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **3** shows a block diagram of a remote master control system, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **4** a block diagram of a generation station, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **5** shows a block diagram of a flexible datacenter, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **6**A shows a structural arrangement of a flexible datacenter, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **6**B shows a set of computing systems arranged in a straight configuration, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **7** shows a control distribution system for a flexible datacenter, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **8** shows a control distribution system for a fleet of flexible datacenters, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **9** shows a queue distribution system for a traditional datacenter and a flexible datacenter, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **10**A shows a method of dynamic power consumption at a flexible datacenter using behind-the-meter power, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **10**B shows a method of dynamic power delivery at a flexible datacenter using behind-the-meter power, according to one or more example embodiments.

FIG. **11** shows a block diagram of a system for implementing power consumption adjustments based on a power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments.

FIG. **12** shows a graph representing power option data based on a power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments.

FIG. **13** shows a method for implementing power consumption adjustments based on a fixed-duration power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments.

FIG. **14** shows a method for implementing power consumption adjustments based on a dynamic power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Disclosed examples will now be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which some, but not all of the disclosed examples are shown. Different examples may be described and should not be construed as limited to the examples set forth herein.

As discussed above, the market price paid to generation stations for supplying power to the grid often fluctuates due to various factors, including the need to maintain grid stability and based on current demand and usage by connected loads in distribution networks. Due to these factors, situations can arise where generation stations are offered substantially lower prices to deter an over-supply of power to the grid. Although these situations typically exist temporarily, generation stations are sometimes forced to either sell power to the grid at the much lower prices or adjust operations to decrease the amount of power generated. Furthermore, some situations may even require generation stations to incur costs in order to offload power to the grid or to shut down generation temporarily.

The volatility in the market price offered for power supplied to the grid can be especially problematic for some types of generation stations. In particular, wind farms and some other types of renewable resource power producers may lack the ability to quickly adjust operations in response to changes in the market price offered for supplying power to the grid. As a result, power generation and management at some generation stations can be inefficient, which can frequently result in power being sold to the grid at low or negative prices. In some situations, a generation station may even opt to halt power generation temporarily to avoid such unfavorable pricing. As such, the time required to halt and to restart the power generation at a generation station can reduce the generation station's ability to take advantage of rising market prices for power supplied to the grid.

Example embodiments provided herein aim to assist generation stations in managing power generation operations and avoid unfavorable power pricing situations like those described above. In particular, example embodiments may involve providing a load that is positioned behind-the-meter ("BTM") and enabling the load to utilize power received behind-the-meter at a generation station in a timely manner. As a general rule of thumb, BTM power is not subject to traditional T&D costs.

For purposes herein, a generation station is considered to be configured for the primary purpose of generating utility-scale power for supply to the electrical grid (e.g., a Wide Area Synchronous Grid or a North American Interconnect).

In one embodiment, equipment located behind-the-meter ("BTM equipment") is equipment that is electrically connected to a generation station's power generation equipment behind (i.e., prior to) the generation station's POI with an electrical grid.

In one embodiment, behind-the-meter power ("BTM power") is electrical power produced by a generation station's power generation equipment and utilized behind (i.e., prior to) the generation station's POI with an electrical grid.

In another embodiment, equipment may be considered behind-the-meter if it is electrically connected to a generation station that is subject to metering by a utility-scale generation-side meter (e.g., settlement meter), and the BTM equipment receives power from the generation station, but the power received by the BTM equipment from the generation station has not passed through the utility-scale generation-side meter. In one embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station is located at the generation station's POI. In another embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station is at a location other than the POI for the generation station—for example, a substation between the generation station and the generation station's POI.

In another embodiment, power may be considered behind-the-meter if it is electrical power produced at a generation station that is subject to metering by a utility-scale generation-side meter (e.g., settlement meter), and the BTM power is utilized before being metered at the utility-scale generation-side meter. In one embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station is located at the generation station's POI. In another embodi-

ment, the utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station is at a location other than the POI for the generation station—for example, a substation between the generation station and the generation station's POI.

In another embodiment, equipment may be considered behind-the-meter if it is electrically connected to a generation station that supplies power to a grid, and the BTM equipment receives power from the generation station that is not subject to T&D charges, but power received from the grid that is supplied by the generation station is subject to T&D charges.

In another embodiment, power may be considered behind-the-meter if it is electrical power produced at a generation station that supplies power to a grid, and the BTM power is not subject to T&D charges before being used by electrical equipment, but power received from the grid that is supplied by the generation station is subject to T&D charges.

In another embodiment, equipment may be considered behind-the-meter if the BTM equipment receives power generated from the generation station and that received power is not routed through the electrical grid before being delivered to the BTM equipment.

In another embodiment, power may be considered behind-the-meter if it is electrical power produced at a generation station, and BTM equipment receives that generated power, and that generated power received by the BTM equipment is not routed through the electrical grid before being delivered to the BTM equipment.

For purposes herein, BTM equipment may also be referred to as a behind-the-meter load ("BTM load") when the BTM equipment is actively consuming BTM power.

Beneficially, where BTM power is not subject to traditional T&D costs, a wind farm or other type of generation station can be connected to BTM loads which can allow the generation station to selectively avoid the adverse or less-than optimal cost structure occasionally associated with supplying power to the grid by shunting generated power to the BTM load.

An arrangement that positions and connects a BTM load to a generation station can offer several advantages. In such arrangements, the generation station may selectively choose whether to supply power to the grid or to the BTM load, or both. The operator of a BTM load may pay to utilize BTM power at a cost less than that charged through a consumer meter (e.g., **106***d*, **106***f*) located at a distribution network (e.g., **106***a-c*) receiving power from the grid. The operator of a BTM load may additionally or alternatively charge less than the market rate to consume excess power generated at the generation station during curtailment. As a result, the generation station may direct generated power based on the "best" price that the generation station can receive during a given time frame, and/or the lowest cost the generation station may incur from negative market pricing during curtailment. The "best" price may be the highest price that the generation station may receive for its generated power during a given duration, but can also differ within embodiments and may depend on various factors, such as a prior PPA.

In one example, by having a behind-the-meter option available, a generation station may transition from supplying all generated power to the grid to supplying some or all generated power to one or more BTM loads when the market price paid for power by grid operators drops below a predefined threshold (e.g., the price that the operator of the BTM load is willing to pay the generation station for power). Thus, by having an alternative option for power consumption (i.e., one or more BTM loads), the generation station can selectively utilize the different options to maximize the price received for generated power. In addition, the generation station may also utilize a BTM load to avoid or reduce the economic impact in situations when supplying power to the grid would result in the generation station incurring a net cost.

Providing BTM power to a load can also benefit the BTM load operator. A BTM load may be able to receive and utilize BTM power received from the generation station at a cost that is lower than the cost for power from the grid (e.g., at a customer meter **106***d*, **106***f*). This is primarily due to the avoidance (or significant reduction) in T&D costs and the market effects of curtailment. As indicated above, the generation station may be willing to divert generated power to the BTM load rather than supplying the grid due to changing market conditions, or during maintenance periods, or for other non-market conditions. Thus, some situations may arise where the generation station offers power to the BTM load at a price that is substantially lower than the price available on the grid. Furthermore, in some situations, the BTM load may even be able to obtain and utilize BTM power from a generation station at no cost or even at negative pricing since the generation station may rather supply the BTM load with generated power during a given time range instead of paying a higher price for the grid to take the power or modifying operations to decrease power output.

Another example of cost-effective use of BTM power is when the generation station **202** is selling power to the grid at a negative price that is offset by a production tax credit. In certain circumstances, the value of the production tax credit may exceed the price the generation station **202** would have to pay to the grid power to offload generation's station **202** generated power. Advantageously, one or more flexible datacenters **220** may take the generated power behind-the-meter, thereby allowing the generation station **202** to produce and obtain the production tax credit, while selling less power to the grid at the negative price.

Another example of cost-effective behind-the-meter power is when the generation station **202** is selling power to the grid at a negative price because the grid is oversupplied and/or the generation station **202** is instructed to stand down and stop producing altogether. A grid operator may select and direct certain generation stations to go offline and stop supplying power to the grid. Advantageously, one or more flexible datacenters may be used to take power behind-the-meter, thereby allowing the generation station **202** to stop supplying power to the grid, but still stay online and make productive use of the power generated.

Another example of beneficial behind-the-meter power use is when the generation station **202** is producing power that is, with reference to the grid, unstable, out of phase, or at the wrong frequency, or the grid is already unstable, out of phase, or at the wrong frequency. A grid operator may select certain generation stations to go either offline and stop producing power, or to take corrective action with respect to the grid power stability, phase, or frequency. Advantageously, one or more flexible datacenters **220** may be used to selectively consume power behind-the-meter, thereby allowing the generation station **202** to stop providing power to the grid and/or provide corrective feedback to the grid.

Another example of beneficial behind-the-meter power use is that cost-effective behind-the-meter power availability may occur when the generation station **202** is starting up or testing. Individual equipment in the power generation equipment **210** may be routinely offline for installation, mainte-

nance, and/or service and the individual units must be tested prior to coming online as part of overall power generation equipment **210**. During such testing or maintenance time, one or more flexible datacenters may be intermittently powered by the one or more units of the power generation equipment **210** that are offline from the overall power generation equipment **210**.

Another example of beneficial behind-the-meter power use is that datacenter control systems at the flexible datacenters **220** may quickly ramp up and ramp down power consumption by computing systems in the flexible datacenters **220** based on power availability from the generation station **202**. For instance, if the grid requires additional power and signals the demand via a higher local price for power, the generation station **202** can supply the grid with power nearly instantly by having active flexible datacenters **220** quickly ramp down and turn off computing systems (or switch to a stored energy source), thereby reducing an active BTM load.

Another example of beneficial behind-the-meter power use is in new photovoltaic generation stations **202**. For example, it is common to design and build new photovoltaic generation stations with a surplus of power capacity to account for degradation in efficiency of the photovoltaic panels over the life of the generation stations. Excess power availability at the generation station can occur when there is excess local power generation and/or low grid demand. In high incident sunlight situations, a photovoltaic generation station **202** may generate more power than the intended capacity of generation station **202**. In such situations, a photovoltaic generation station **202** may have to take steps to protect its equipment from damage, which may include taking one or more photovoltaic panels offline or shunting their voltage to dummy loads or the ground. Advantageously, one or more flexible datacenters (e.g., the flexible datacenters **220**) may take power behind-the-meter at the Generations Station **202**, thereby allowing the generation station **202** to operate the power generation equipment **210** within operating ranges while the flexible datacenters **220** receive BTM power without transmission or distribution costs.

Thus, for at least the reasons described herein, arrangements that involves providing a BTM load as an alternative option for a generation station to direct its generated power to can serve as a mutually beneficial relationship in which both the generation station and the BTM load can economically benefit. The above-noted examples of beneficial use of BTM power are merely exemplary and are not intended to limit the scope of what one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize as benefits to unutilized BTM power capacity, BTM power pricing, or BTM power consumption.

Within example embodiments described herein, various types of utility-scale power producers may operate as generation stations **202** that are capable of supplying power to one or more loads behind-the-meter. For instance, renewable energy sources (e.g., wind, solar, hydroelectric, wave, water current, tidal), fossil fuel power generation sources (coal, natural gas), and other types of power producers (e.g., nuclear power) may be positioned in an arrangement that enables the intermittent supply of generated power behind-the-meter to one or more BTM loads. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the generation station **202** may vary based on an application or design in accordance with one or more example embodiments.

In addition, the particular arrangement (e.g., connections) between the generation station and one or more BTM loads can vary within examples. In one embodiment, a generation station may be positioned in an arrangement wherein the generation station selectively supplies power to the grid and/or to one or more BTM loads. As such, power cost-analysis and other factors (e.g., predicted weather conditions, contractual obligations, etc.) may be used by the generation station, a BTM load control system, a remote master control system, or some other system or enterprise, to selectively output power to either the grid or to one or more BTM loads in a manner that maximizes revenue to the generation station. In such an arrangement, the generation station may also be able to supply both the grid and one or more BTM loads simultaneously. In some instances, the arrangement may be configured to allow dynamic manipulation of the percentage of the overall generated power that is supplied to each option at a given time. For example, in some time periods, the generation station may supply no power to the BTM load.

In addition, the type of loads that are positioned behind-the-meter can vary within example embodiments. In general, a load that is behind-the-meter may correspond to any type of load capable of receiving and utilizing power behind-the-meter from a generation station. Some examples of loads include, but are not limited to, datacenters and electric vehicle (EV) charging stations.

Preferred BTM loads are loads that can be subject to intermittent power supply because BTM power may be available intermittently. In some instances, the generation station may generate power intermittently. For example, wind power station **102***c* and/or photovoltaic power station **102***d* may only generate power when resource are available or favorable. Additionally or alternatively, BTM power availability at a generation station may only be available intermittently due to power market fluctuations, power system conditions (e.g., power factor fluctuation or generation station startup and testing), and/or operational directives from grid operators or generation station operators.

Some example embodiments of BTM loads described herein involve using one or more computing systems to serve as a BTM load at a generation station. In particular, the computing system or computing systems may receive power behind-the-meter from the generation station to perform various computational operations, such as processing or storing information, performing calculations, mining for cryptocurrencies, supporting blockchain ledgers, and/or executing applications, etc.

Multiple computing systems positioned behind-the-meter may operate as part of a "flexible" datacenter that is configured to operate only intermittently and to receive and utilize BTM power to carry out various computational operations similar to a traditional datacenter. In particular, the flexible datacenter may include computing systems and other components (e.g., support infrastructure, a control system) configured to utilize BTM power from one or more generation stations. The flexible datacenter may be configured to use particular load ramping abilities (e.g., quickly increase or decrease power usage) to effectively operate during intermittent periods of time when power is available from a generation station and supplied to the flexible datacenter behind-the-meter, such as during situations when supplying generated power to the grid is not favorable for the generation station.

In some instances, the amount of power consumed by the computing systems at a flexible datacenter can be ramped up and down quickly, and potentially with high granularity (i.e., the load can be changed in small increments if desired). This may be done based on monitored power system conditions or other information analyses as discussed herein. As recited

above, this can enable a generation station to avoid negative power market pricing and to respond quickly to grid directives. And by extension, the flexible datacenter may obtain BTM power at a price lower than the cost for power from the grid.

Various types of computing systems can provide granular power ramping. Preferably, the computing systems can perform computational tasks that are immune to, or not substantially hindered by, frequent interruptions or slowdowns in processing as the computing systems ramp down or up. In some embodiments, a control system may be used to activate or de-activate one or more computing systems in an array of computing systems. For example, the control system may provide control instructions to one or more blockchain miners (e.g., a group of blockchain miners), including instructions for powering on or off, adjusting frequency of computing systems performing operations (e.g., adjusting the processing frequency), adjusting the quantity of operations being performed, and when to operate within a low power mode (if available).

Within examples, a control system may correspond to a specialized computing system or may be a computing system within a datacenter serving in the role of the control system. The location of the control system can vary within examples as well. For instance, the control system may be located at a datacenter or physically separate from the datacenter. In some examples, the control system may be part of a network of control systems that manage computational operations, power consumption, and other aspects of a fleet of datacenters. The fleet of datacenters may include one or more traditional datacenters and/or flexible datacenters.

Some embodiments may involve using one or more control systems to direct time-insensitive (e.g., interruptible) computational tasks to computational hardware, such as central processing units (CPUs) and graphics processing units (GPUs), sited behind the meter, while other hardware is sited in front of the meter (i.e., consuming metered grid power via a customer meter (e.g., **106***d*, **106***f*)) and possibly remote from the behind-the-meter hardware. As such, parallel computing processes, such as Monte Carlo simulations, batch processing of financial transactions, graphics rendering, machine learning, neural network processing, queued operations, and oil and gas field simulation models, are good candidates for such interruptible computational operations.

FIG. **2** shows a behind-the-meter arrangement with optional grid-power, including one or more flexible datacenters, according to one or more example embodiments. Dark arrows illustrate a typical power delivery direction. Consistent with FIG. **1**, the arrangement illustrates a generation station **202** in the generation segment **102** of a Wide-Area Synchronous Grid. The generation station **202** supplies utility-scale power (typically >50 MW) via a generation power connection **250** to the Point of Interconnection **103** between the generation station **202** and the rest of the grid. Typically, the power supplied on connection **250** may be at 34.5 kV AC, but it may be higher or lower. Depending on the voltage at connection **250** and the voltage at transmission lines **104***a*, a transformer system **203** may step up the power supplied from the generation station **202** to high voltage (e.g., 115 kV+AC) for transmission over connection **252** and onto transmission lines **104***a* of transmission segment **104**. Grid power carried on the transmission segment **104** may be from generation station **202** as well as other generation stations (not shown). Also consistent with FIG. **1**, grid power is consumed at one or more distribution networks, including example distribution network **206**. Grid

power may be taken from the transmission lines **104***a* via connector **254** and stepped down to distribution network voltages (e.g., typically 4 kV to 26 kV AC) and sent into the distribution networks, such as distribution network **206** via distribution line **256**. The power on distribution line **256** may be further stepped down (not shown) before entering individual consumer facilities such as a remote master control system **262** and/or traditional datacenters **260** via customer meters **206**A, which may correspond to customer meters **106***d* in FIG. **1**, or customer meters **106***f* in FIG. **1** if the respective consumer facility includes a local customer power system, such as **106***e* (not shown in FIG. **2**).

Consistent with FIG. **1**, power entering the grid from generation station **202** is metered by a utility-scale generation-side meter. A utility-scale generation-side meter **253** is shown on the low side of transformer system **203** and an alternative location is shown as **253**A on the high side of transformer system **203**. Both locations may be considered settlement metering points for the generation station **202** at the POI **103**. Alternatively, a utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station **202** may be located at another location consistent with the descriptions of such meters provided herein.

Generation station **202** includes power generation equipment **210**, which may include, as examples, wind turbines and/or photovoltaic panels. Power generation equipment **210** may further include other electrical equipment, including but not limited to switches, buses, collectors, inverters, and power unit transformers (e.g., transformers in wind turbines).

As illustrated in FIG. **2**, generation station **202** is configured to connect with BTM equipment which may function as BTM loads. In the illustrated embodiment of FIG. **2**, the BTM equipment includes flexible datacenters **220**. Various configurations to supply BTM power to flexible datacenters **220** within the arrangement of FIG. **2** are described herein.

In one configuration, generated power may travel from the power generation equipment **210** over one or more connectors **230**A, **230**B to one or more electrical buses **240**A, **240**B, respectively. Each of the connectors **230**A, **230**B may be a switched connector such that power may be routed independently to **240**A and/or **240**B. For illustrative purposes only, connector **230**B is shown with an open switch, and connector **230**A is shown with a closed switch, but either or both may be reversed in some embodiments. Aspects of this configuration can be used in various embodiments when BTM power is supplied without significant power conversion to BTM loads.

In various configurations, the buses **240**A and **240**B may be separated by an open switch **240**C or combined into a common bus by a closed switch **240**C.

In another configuration, generated power may travel from the power generation equipment **210** to the high side of a local step-down transformer **214**. The generated power may then travel from the low side of the local step-down transformer **214** over one or more connectors **232**A, **232**B to the one or more electrical buses **240**A, **240**B, respectively. Each of the connectors **232**A, **232**B may be a switched connector such that power may be routed independently to **240**A and/or **240**B. For illustrative purposes only, connector **232**A is shown with an open switch, and connector **232**B is shown with a closed switch, but either or both may be reversed in some embodiments. Aspects of this configuration can be used when it is preferable to connect BTM power to the power generation equipment **210**, but the generated power must be stepped down prior to use at the BTM loads.

In another configuration, generated power may travel from the power generation equipment **210** to the low side of a local step-up transformer **212**. The generated power may then travel from the high side of the local step-up transformer **212** over one or more connectors **234**A, **234**B to the one or more electrical buses **240**A, **240**B, respectively. Each of the connectors **234**A, **234**B may be a switched connector such that power may be routed independently to **240**A and/or **240**B. For illustrative purposes only, both connectors **234**A, **234**B are shown with open switches, but either or both may be closed in some embodiments. Aspects of this configuration can be used when it is preferable to connect BTM power to the outbound connector **250** or the high side of the local step-up transformer **212**.

In another configuration, generated power may travel from the power generation equipment **210** to the low side of the local step-up transformer **212**. The generated power may then travel from the high side of the local step-up transformer **212** to the high side of local step-down transformer **213**. The generated power may then travel from the low side of the local step-down transformer **213** over one or more connectors **236**A, **236**B to the one or more electrical buses **240**A, **240**B, respectively. Each of the connectors **236**A, **2346** may be a switched connector such that power may be routed independently to **240**A and/or **240**B. For illustrative purposes only, both connectors **236**A, **236**B are shown with open switches, but either or both may be closed in some embodiments. Aspects of this configuration can be used when it is preferable to connect BTM power to the outbound connector **250** or the high side of the local step-up transformer **212**, but the power must be stepped down prior to use at the BTM loads.

In one embodiment, power generated at the generation station **202** may be used to power a generation station control system **216** located at the generation station **202**, when power is available. The generation station control system **216** may typically control the operation of the generation station **202**. Generated power used at the generation station control system **216** may be supplied from bus **240**A via connector **216**A and/or from bus **240**B via connector **216**B. Each of the connectors **216**A, **216**B may be a switched connector such that power may be routed independently to **240**A and/or **240**B. While the generation station control system **216** can consume BTM power when powered via bus **240**A or bus **240**B, the BTM power taken by generation station control system **216** is insignificant in terms of rendering an economic benefit. Further, the generation station control system **216** is not configured to operate intermittently, as it generally must remain always on. Further still, the generation station control system **216** does not have the ability to quickly ramp a BTM load up or down.

In another embodiment, grid power may alternatively or additionally be used to power the generation station control system **216**. As illustrated here, metered grid power from a distribution network, such as distribution network **206** for simplicity of illustration purposes only, may be used to power generation station control system **216** over connector **216**C. Connector **216**C may be a switched connector so that metered grid power to the generation station control system **216** can be switched on or off as needed. More commonly, metered grid power would be delivered to the generation station control system **216** via a separate distribution network (not shown), and also over a switched connector. Any such grid power delivered to the generation station control system **216** is metered by a customer meter **206**A and subject to T&D costs.

In another embodiment, when power generation equipment **210** is in an idle or off state and not generating power, grid power may backfeed into generation station **202** through POI **103** and such grid power may power the generation station control system **216**.

In some configurations, an energy storage system **218** may be connected to the generation station **202** via connector **218**A, which may be a switched connector. For illustrative purposes only, connector **218**A is shown with an open switch but in some embodiments it may be closed. The energy storage system **218** may be connected to bus **240**A and/or bus **240**B and store energy produced by the power generation equipment **210**. The energy storage system may also be isolated from generation station **202** by switch **242**A. In times of need, such as when the power generation equipment in an idle or off state and not generating power, the energy storage system may feed power to, for example, the flexible datacenters **220**. The energy storage system may also be isolated from the flexible datacenters **220** by switch **242**B.

In a preferred embodiment, as illustrated, power generation equipment **210** supplies BTM power via connector **242** to flexible datacenters **220**. The BTM power used by the flexible datacenters **220** was generated by the generation station **202** and did not pass through the POI **103** or utility-scale generation-side meter **253**, and is not subject to T&D charges. Power received at the flexible datacenters **220** may be received through respective power input connectors **220**A. Each of the respective connectors **220**A may be switched connector that can electrically isolate the respective flexible datacenter **220** from the connector **242**. Power equipment **220**B may be arranged between the flexible datacenters **220** and the connector **242**. The power equipment **220**B may include, but is not limited to, power conditioners, unit transformers, inverters, and isolation equipment. As illustrated, each flexible datacenter **220** may be served by a respective power equipment **220**B. However, in another embodiment, one power equipment **220**B may serve multiple flexible datacenter **220**.

In one embodiment, flexible datacenters **220** may be considered BTM equipment located behind-the-meter and electrically connected to the power generation equipment **210** behind (i.e., prior to) the generation station's POI **103** with the rest of the electrical grid.

In one embodiment, BTM power produced by the power generation equipment **210** is utilized by the flexible datacenters **220** behind (i.e., prior to) the generation station's POI with an electrical grid.

In another embodiment, flexible datacenters **220** may be considered BTM equipment located behind-the-meter as the flexible datacenters **220** are electrically connected to the generation station **202**, and generation station **202** is subject to metering by utility-scale generation-side meter **253** (or **253**A, or another utility-scale generation-side meter), and the flexible datacenters **220** receive power from the generation station **202**, but the power received by the flexible datacenters **220** from the generation station **202** has not passed through a utility-scale generation-side meter. In this embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter **253** (or **253**A) for the generation station **202** is located at the generation station's **202** POI **103**. In another embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station **202** is at a location other than the POI for the generation station **202**—for example, a substation (not shown) between the generation station **202** and the generation station's POI **103**.

In another embodiment, power from the generation station **202** is supplied to the flexible datacenters **220** as BTM power, where power produced at the generation station **202** is subject to metering by utility-scale generation-side meter **253** (or **253**A, or another utility-scale generation-side meter), but the BTM power supplied to the flexible datacenters **220** is utilized before being metered at the utility-scale generation-side meter **253** (or **253**A, or another utility-scale generation-side meter). In this embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter **253** (or **253**A) for the generation station **202** is located at the generation station's **202** POI **103**. In another embodiment, the utility-scale generation-side meter for the generation station **202** is at a location other than the POI for the generation station **202**—for example, a substation (not shown) between the generation station **202** and the generation station's POI **103**.

In another embodiment, flexible datacenters **220** may be considered BTM equipment located behind-the-meter as they are electrically connected to the generation station **202** that supplies power to the grid, and the flexible datacenters **220** receive power from the generation station **202** that is not subject to T&D charges, but power otherwise received from the grid that is supplied by the generation station **202** is subject to T&D charges.

In another embodiment, power from the generation station **202** is supplied to the flexible datacenters **220** as BTM power, where electrical power is generated at the generation station **202** that supplies power to a grid, and the generated power is not subject to T&D charges before being used by flexible datacenters **220**, but power otherwise received from the connected grid is subject to T&D charges.

In another embodiment, flexible datacenters **220** may be considered BTM equipment located behind-the-meter because they receive power generated from the generation station **202** intended for the grid, and that received power is not routed through the electrical grid before being delivered to the flexible datacenters **220**.

In another embodiment, power from the generation station **202** is supplied to the flexible datacenters **220** as BTM power, where electrical power is generated at the generation station **202** for distribution to the grid, and the flexible datacenters **220** receive that power, and that received power is not routed through the electrical grid before being delivered to the flexible datacenters **220**.

In another embodiment, metered grid power may alternatively or additionally be used to power one or more of the flexible datacenters **220**, or a portion within one or more of the flexible datacenters **220**. As illustrated here for simplicity, metered grid power from a distribution network, such as distribution network **206**, may be used to power one or more flexible datacenters **220** over connector **256**A and/or **256**B. Each of connector **256**A and/or **256**B may be a switched connector so that metered grid power to the flexible datacenters **220** can be switched on or off as needed. More commonly, metered grid power would be delivered to the flexible datacenters **220** via a separate distribution network (not shown), and also over switched connectors. Any such grid power delivered to the flexible datacenters **220** is metered by customer meters **206**A and subject to T&D costs. In one embodiment, connector **256**B may supply metered grid power to a portion of one or more flexible datacenters **220**. For example, connector **256**B may supply metered grid power to control and/or communication systems for the flexible datacenters **220** that need constant power and cannot be subject to intermittent BTM power. Connector **242** may supply solely BTM power from the generation station **202** to high power demand computing systems within the flexible datacenters **220**, in which case at least a portion of each flexible datacenters **220** so connected is operating as a BTM load. In another embodiment, connector **256**A and/or **256**B may supply all power used at one or more of the flexible datacenters **220**, in which case each of the flexible datacenters **220** so connected would not be operating as a BTM load.

In another embodiment, when power generation equipment **210** is in an idle or off state and not generating power, grid power may backfeed into generation station **202** through POI **103** and such grid power may power the flexible datacenters **220**.

The flexible datacenters **220** are shown in an example arrangement relative to the generation station **202**. Particularly, generated power from the generation station **202** may be supplied to the flexible datacenters **220** through a series of connectors and/or buses (e.g., **232**B, **240**B, **242**, **220**A). As illustrated, in other embodiments, connectors between the power generation equipment **210** and other components may be switched open or closed, allowing other pathways for power transfer between the power generation equipment **210** and components, including the flexible datacenters **220**. Additionally, the connector arrangement shown is illustrative only and other circuit arrangements are contemplated within the scope of supplying BTM power to a BTM load at generation station **202**. For example, there may be more or fewer transformers, or one or more of transformers **212**, **213**, **214** may be transformer systems with multiple steppings and/or may include additional power equipment including but not limited to power conditioners, filters, switches, inverters, and/or AC/DC-DC/AC isolators. As another example, metered grid power connections to flexible datacenters **220** are shown via both **256**A and **256**B; however, a single connection may connect one or more flexible datacenters **220** (or power equipment **220**B) to metered grid power and the one or more flexible datacenters **220** (or power equipment **220**B) may include switching apparatus to direct BTM power and/or metered grid power to control systems, communication systems, and/or computing systems as desired.

In some examples, BTM power may arrive at the flexible datacenters **220** in a three-phase AC format. As such, power equipment (e.g., power equipment **220**B) at one or more of the flexible datacenters **220** may enable each flexible datacenter **220** to use one or more phases of the power. For instance, the flexible datacenters **220** may utilize power equipment (e.g., power equipment **220**B, or alternatively or additionally power equipment that is part of the flexible datacenter **220**) to convert BTM power received from the generation station **202** for use at computing systems at each flexible datacenter **220**. In other examples, the BTM power may arrive at one or more of the flexible datacenters **220** as DC power. As such, the flexible datacenters **220** may use the DC power to power computing systems. In some such examples, the DC power may be routed through a DC-to-DC converter that is part of power equipment **220**B and/or flexibles datacenter **220**.

In some configurations, a flexible datacenter **220** may be arranged to only have access to power received behind-the-meter from a generation station **202**. In the arrangement of FIG. **2**, the flexible datacenters **220** may be arranged only with a connection to the generation station **202** and depend solely on power received behind-the-meter from the generation station **202**. Alternatively or additionally, the flexible datacenters **220** may receive power from energy storage system **218**.

In some configurations, one or more of the flexible datacenters **220** can be arranged to have connections to

multiple sources that are capable of supplying power to a flexible datacenter **220**. To illustrate a first example, the flexible datacenters **220** are shown connected to connector **242**, which can be connected or disconnected via switches to the energy storage system **218** via connector **218**A, the generation station **202** via bus **240**B, and grid power via metered connector **256**A. In one embodiment, the flexible datacenters **220** may selectively use power received behind-the-meter from the generation station **202**, stored power supplied by the energy storage system **218**, and/or grid power. For instance, flexible datacenters **220** may use power stored in the energy storage system **218** when costs for using power supplied behind-the-meter from the generation station **202** are disadvantageous. By having access to the energy storage system **218** available, the flexible datacenters **220** may use the stored power and allow the generation station **202** to subsequently refill the energy storage system **218** when cost for power behind-the-meter is low. Alternatively, the flexible datacenters **220** may use power from multiple sources simultaneously to power different components (e.g., a first set and a second set of computing systems). Thus, the flexible datacenters **220** may leverage the multiple connections in a manner that can reduce the cost for power used by the computing systems at the flexible datacenters **220**. The flexible datacenters **220** control system or the remote master control system **262** may monitor power conditions and other factors to determine whether the flexible datacenters **220** should use power from either the generation station **202**, grid power, the energy storage system **218**, none of the sources, or a subset of sources during a given time range. Other arrangements are possible as well. For example, the arrangement of FIG. **2** illustrates each flexible datacenter **220** as connected via a single connector **242** to energy storage system **218**, generation station **202**, and metered grid power via **256**A. However, one or more flexible datacenters **220** may have independent switched connections to each energy source, allowing the one or more flexible datacenters **220** to operate from different energy sources than other flexible datacenters **220** at the same time.

The selection of which power source to use at a flexible datacenter (e.g., the flexible datacenters **220**) or another type of BTM load can change based on various factors, such as the cost and availability of power from both sources, the type of computing systems using the power at the flexible datacenters **220** (e.g., some systems may require a reliable source of power for a long period), the nature of the computational operations being performed at the flexible datacenters **220** (e.g., a high priority task may require immediate completion regardless of cost), and temperature and weather conditions, among other possible factors. As such, a datacenter control system at the flexible datacenters **220**, the remote master control system **262**, or another entity (e.g., an operator at the generation station **202**) may also influence and/or determine the source of power that the flexible datacenters **220** use at a given time to complete computational operations.

In some example embodiments, the flexible datacenters **220** may use power from the different sources to serve different purposes. For example, the flexible datacenters **220** may use metered power from grid power to power one or more systems at the flexible datacenters **220** that are configured to be always-on (or almost always on), such as a control and/or communication system and/or one or more computing systems (e.g., a set of computing systems performing highly important computational operations). The flexible datacenters **220** may use BTM power to power other components within the flexible datacenters **220**, such as one or more computing systems that perform less critical computational operations.

In some examples, one or more flexible datacenters **220** may be deployed at the generation station **202**. In other examples, flexible datacenters **220** may be deployed at a location geographically remote from the generation station **202**, while still maintaining a BTM power connection to the generation station **202**.

In another example arrangement, the generation station **202** may be connected to a first BTM load (e.g., a flexible datacenter **220**) and may supply power to additional BTM loads via connections between the first BTM load and the additional BTM loads (e.g., a connection between a flexible datacenter **220** and another flexible datacenter **220**).

The arrangement in FIG. **2**, and components included therein, are for non-limiting illustration purposes and other arrangements are contemplated in examples. For instance, in another example embodiment, the arrangement of FIG. **2** may include more or fewer components, such as more BTM loads, different connections between power sources and loads, and/or a different number of datacenters. In addition, some examples may involve one or more components within the arrangement of FIG. **2** being combined or further divided.

Within the arrangement of FIG. **2**, a control system, such as the remote master control system **262** or another component (e.g., a control system associated with the grid operator, the generation station control system **216**, or a datacenter control system associated with a traditional datacenter or one or more flexible datacenters) may use information to efficiently manage various operations of some of the components within the arrangement of FIG. **2**. For example, the remote master control system **262** or another component may manage distribution and execution of computational operations at one or more traditional datacenters **260** and/or flexible datacenters **220** via one or more information-processing algorithms. These algorithms may utilize past and current information in real-time to manage operations of the different components. These algorithms may also make some predictions based on past trends and information analysis. In some examples, multiple computing systems may operate as a network to process information.

Information used to make decisions may include economic and/or power-related information, such as monitored power system conditions. Monitored power system conditions may include one or more of excess power generation at a generation station **202**, excess power at a generation station **202** that a connected grid cannot receive, power generation at a generation station **202** subject to economic curtailment, power generation at a generation station **202** subject to reliability curtailment, power generation at a generation station **202** subject to power factor correction, low power generation at a generation station **202**, start up conditions at a generation station **202**, transient power generation conditions at a generation station **202**, or testing conditions where there is an economic advantage to using behind-the-meter power generation at a generation station **202**. These different monitored power system conditions can be weighted differently during processing and analysis.

In some examples, the information can include the cost for power from available sources (e.g., BTM power at the generation station **202** versus metered grid power) to enable comparisons to be made which power source costs less. In some instances, the information may include historic prices for power to enable the remote master control system **262** or another system to predict potential future prices in similar

situations (e.g., the cost of power tends to trend upwards for grid power during warmer weather and peak-use hours). The information may also indicate the availability of power from the various sources (e.g., BTM power at the generation station **262**, the energy storage system **218** at the generation station **262**, and/or metered grid power).

In addition, the information may also include other data, including information associated with operations at components within the arrangement. For instance, the information may include data associated with performance of operations at the flexible datacenters **220** and the traditional datacenters **260**, such as the number of computational tasks currently being performed, the types of tasks being performed (e.g., type of computational operation, time-sensitivity, etc.), the number, types, and capabilities of available computing systems, the amount of computational tasks awaiting performance, and the types of computing systems at one or more datacenters, among others. The information may also include data specifying the conditions at one or more datacenters (e.g., whether or not the temperatures are in a desired range, the amount of power available within an energy storage system such as **218**), the amount of computational tasks awaiting performance in the queue of one or more of the datacenters, and the identities of the entities associated with the computational operations at one or more of the datacenters. Entities associated with computational operations may be, for example, owners of the datacenters, customers who purchase computational time at the datacenters, or other entities.

The information used by the remote master control system **262** or another component may include data associated with the computational operations to be performed, such as deadlines, priorities (e.g., high vs. low priority tasks), cost to perform based on required computing systems, the optimal computing systems (e.g., CPU vs GPU vs ASIC; processing unit capabilities, speeds, or frequencies, or instructional sets executable by the processing units) for performing each requested computational task, and prices each entity (e.g., company) is willing to pay for computational operations to be performed or otherwise supported via computing systems at a traditional datacenter **260** or a flexible datacenter **220**, among others. In addition, the information may also include other data (e.g., weather conditions at locations of datacenters or power sources, any emergencies associated with a datacenter or power source, or the current value of bids associated with an auction for computational tasks).

The information may be updated in-real time and used to make the different operational decisions within the arrangement of FIG. **2**. For instance, the information may help a component (e.g., the remote master control system **262** or a control system at a flexible datacenter **220**) determine when to ramp up or ramp down power use at a flexible datacenter **220** or when to switch one or more computing systems at a flexible datacenter **220** into a low power mode or to operate at a different frequency, among other operational adjustments. The information can additionally or alternatively help a component within the arrangement of FIG. **2** to determine when to transfer computational operations between computing systems or between datacenters based on various factors. In some instances, the information may also be used to determine when to temporarily stop performing a computational operation or when to perform a computational operation at multiple sites for redundancy or other reasons. The information may further be used to determine when to accept new computational operations from entities or when to temporarily suspend accepting new tasks to be performed due to lack of computing system availability.

The remote master control system **262** represents a computing system that is capable of obtaining, managing, and using the information described above to manage and oversee one or more operations within the arrangement of FIG. **2**. As such, the remote master control system **262** may be one or more computing systems configured to process all, or a subset of, the information described above, such as power, environment, computational characterization, and economic factors to assist with the distribution and execution of computing operations among one or more datacenters. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may be configured to obtain and delegate computational operations among one or more datacenters based on a weighted analysis of a variety of factors, including one or more of the cost and availability of power, the types and availability of the computing systems at each datacenter, current and predicted weather conditions at the different locations of flexible datacenters (e.g., flexible datacenters **220**) and generation stations (e.g., generation stations **202**), levels of power storage available at one or more energy storage systems (e.g., energy storage system **218**), and deadlines and other attributes associated with particular computational operations, among other possible factors. As such, the analysis of information performed by the remote master control system **262** may vary within examples. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may use real-time information to determine whether or not to route a computational operation to a particular flexible datacenter (e.g., a flexible datacenter **220**) or to transition a computational operation between datacenters (e.g., from traditional datacenter **260** to a flexible datacenter **220**).

As shown in FIG. **2**, the generation station **202** may be able to supply power to the grid and/or BTM loads such as flexible datacenters **220**. With such a configuration, the generation station **202** may selectively provide power to the BTM loads and/or the grid based on economic and power availability considerations. For example, the generation station **202** may supply power to the grid when the price paid for the power exceeds a particular threshold (e.g., the power price offered by operators of the flexible datacenters **220**). In some instances, the operator of a flexible datacenter and the operator of a generation station capable of supplying BTM power to the flexible datacenter may utilize a predefined arrangement (e.g., a contract) that specifies a duration and/or price range when the generation station may supply power to the flexible datacenter.

The remote master control system **262** may be capable of directing one or more flexible datacenters **220** to ramp-up or ramp-down to desired power consumption levels, and/or to control cooperative action of multiple flexible datacenters by determining how to power each individual flexible datacenter **220** in accordance with operational directives.

The configuration of the remote master control system **262** can vary within examples as further discussed with respect to FIGS. **2**, **3**, and **7-9**. The remote master control system **262** may operate as a single computing system or may involve a network of computing systems. Preferably, the remote master control system **262** is implemented across one or more servers in a fault-tolerant operating environment that ensures continuous uptime and connectivity by virtue of its distributed nature. Alternatively, although the remote master control system **262** is shown as a physically separate component arrangement for FIG. **2**, the remote master control system **262** may be combined with another

component in other embodiments. To illustrate an example, the remote master control system **262** may operate as part of a flexible datacenter (e.g., a computing system or a datacenter control system of the flexible datacenter **220**), including sharing components with a flexible datacenter, sharing power with a flexible datacenter, and/or being co-located with a flexible datacenter.

In addition, the remote master control system **262** may communicate with components within the arrangement of FIG. **2** using various communication technologies, including wired and wireless communication technologies. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may use wired (not illustrated) or wireless communication to communicate with datacenter control systems or other computing systems at the flexible datacenters **220** and the traditional datacenters **260**. The remote master control system **262** may also communicate with entities inside or outside the arrangement of FIG. **2** and other components within the arrangement of FIG. **2** via wired or wireless communication. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may use wireless communication to obtain computational operations from entities seeking support for the computational operations at one or more datacenters in exchange for payment. The remote master control system **262** may communicate directly with the entities or may obtain the computational operations from the traditional datacenters **260**. For instance, an entity may submit jobs (e.g., computational operations) to one or more traditional datacenters **260**. The remote master control system **262** may determine that transferring one or more of the computational operations to a flexible datacenter **220** may better support the transferred computational operations. For example, the remote master control system **262** may determine that the transfer may enable the computational operations to be completed quicker and/or at a lower cost. In some examples, the remote master control system **262** may communicate with the entity to obtain approval prior to transferring the one or more computational operations.

The remote master control system **262** may also communicate with grid operators and/or an operator of generation station **202** to help determine power management strategies when distributing computational operations across the various datacenters. In addition, the remote master control system **262** may communicate with other sources, such as weather prediction systems, historical and current power price databases, and auction systems, etc.

In further examples, the remote master control system **262** or another computing system within the arrangement of FIG. **2** may use wired or wireless communication to submit bids within an auction that involves a bidder (e.g., the highest bid) obtaining computational operations or other tasks to be performed. Particularly, the remote master control system **262** may use the information discussed above to develop bids to obtain computing operations for performance at available computing systems at flexible datacenters (e.g., flexible datacenters **220**).

In the example arrangement shown in FIG. **2**, the flexible datacenters **220** represent example loads that can receive power behind-the-meter from the generation station **202**. In such a configuration, the flexible datacenters **220** may obtain and utilize power behind-the-meter from the generation station **202** to perform various computational operations. Performance of a computational operation may involve one or more computing systems providing resources useful in the computational operation. For instance, the flexible datacenters **220** may include one or more computing systems configured to store information, perform calculations and/or parallel processes, perform simulations, mine cryptocurrencies, and execute applications, among other potential tasks. The computing systems can be specialized or generic and can be arranged at each flexible datacenter **220** in a variety of ways (e.g., straight configuration, zig-zag configuration) as further discussed with respect to FIGS. **6**A, **6**B. Furthermore, although the example arrangement illustrated in FIG. **2** shows configurations where flexible datacenters **220** serve as BTM loads, other types of loads can be used as BTM loads within examples.

The arrangement of FIG. **2** includes the traditional datacenters **260** coupled to metered grid power. The traditional datacenters **260** using metered grid power to provide computational resources to support computational operations. One or more enterprises may assign computational operations to the traditional datacenters **260** with expectations that the datacenters reliably provide resources without interruption (i.e., non-intermittently) to support the computational operations, such as processing abilities, networking, and/or volatile storage. Similarly, one or more enterprises may also request computational operations to be performed by the flexible datacenters **220**. The flexible datacenters **220** differ from the traditional datacenters **260** in that the flexible datacenters **220** are arranged and/or configured to be connected to BTM power, are expected to operate intermittently, and are expected to ramp load (and thus computational capability) up or down regularly in response to control directives. In some examples, the flexible datacenters **220** and the traditional datacenters **260** may have similar configurations and may only differ based on the source(s) of power relied upon to power internal computing systems. Preferably, however, the flexible datacenters **220** include particular fast load ramping abilities (e.g., quickly increase or decrease power usage) and are intended and designed to effectively operate during intermittent periods of time.

FIG. **3** shows a block diagram of the remote master control system **300** according to one or more example embodiments. Remote master control system **262** may take the form of remote master control system **300**, or may include less than all components in remote master control system **300**, different components than in remote master control system **300**, and/or more components than in remote master control system **300**.

The remote master control system **300** may perform one or more operations described herein and may include a processor **302**, a data storage unit **304**, a communication interface **306**, a user interface **308**, an operations and environment analysis module **310**, and a queue system **312**. In other examples, the remote master control system **300** may include more or fewer components in other possible arrangements.

As shown in FIG. **3**, the various components of the remote master control system **300** can be connected via one or more connection mechanisms (e.g., a connection mechanism **314**). In this disclosure, the term "connection mechanism" means a mechanism that facilitates communication between two or more devices, systems, components, or other entities. For instance, a connection mechanism can be a simple mechanism, such as a cable, PCB trace, or system bus, or a relatively complex mechanism, such as a packet-based communication network (e.g., LAN, WAN, and/or the Internet). In some instances, a connection mechanism can include a non-tangible medium (e.g., where the connection is wireless).

As part of the arrangement of FIG. **2**, the remote master control system **300** (corresponding to remote master control system **262**) may perform a variety of operations, such as

management and distribution of computational operations among datacenters, monitoring operational, economic, and environment conditions, and power management. For instance, the remote master control system **300** may obtain computational operations from one or more enterprises for performance at one or more datacenters. The remote master control system **300** may subsequently use information to distribute and assign the computational operations to one or more datacenters (e.g., the flexible datacenters **220**) that have the resources (e.g., particular types of computing systems and available power) available to complete the computational operations. In some examples, the remote master control system **300** may assign all incoming computational operation requests to the queue system **312** and subsequently assign the queued requests to computing systems based on an analysis of current market and power conditions.

Although the remote master control system **300** is shown as a single entity, a network of computing systems may perform the operations of the remote master control system **300** in some examples. For example, the remote master control system **300** may exist in the form of computing systems (e.g., datacenter control systems) distributed across multiple datacenters.

The remote master control system **300** may include one or more processors **302**. As such, the processor **302** may represent one or more general-purpose processors (e.g., a microprocessor) and/or one or more special-purpose processors (e.g., a digital signal processor (DSP)). In some examples, the processor **302** may include a combination of processors within examples. The processor **302** may perform operations, including processing data received from the other components within the arrangement of FIG. **2** and data obtained from external sources, including information such as weather forecasting systems, power market price systems, and other types of sources or databases.

The data storage unit **304** may include one or more volatile, non-volatile, removable, and/or non-removable storage components, such as magnetic, optical, or flash storage, and/or can be integrated in whole or in part with the processor **302**. As such, the data storage unit **304** may take the form of a non-transitory computer-readable storage medium, having stored thereon program instructions (e.g., compiled or non-compiled program logic and/or machine code) that, when executed by the processor **302**, cause the remote master control system **300** to perform one or more acts and/or functions, such as those described in this disclosure. Such program instructions can define and/or be part of a discrete software application. In some instances, the remote master control system **300** can execute program instructions in response to receiving an input, such as from the communication interface **306**, the user interface **308**, or the operations and environment analysis module **310**. The data storage unit **304** may also store other information, such as those types described in this disclosure.

In some examples, the data storage unit **304** may serve as storage for information obtained from one or more external sources. For example, data storage unit **304** may store information obtained from one or more of the traditional datacenters **260**, a generation station **202**, a system associated with the grid, and flexible datacenters **220**. As examples only, data storage **304** may include, in whole or in part, local storage, dedicated server-managed storage, network attached storage, and/or cloud-based storage, and/or combinations thereof.

The communication interface **306** can allow the remote master control system **300** to connect to and/or communicate with another component according to one or more protocols. For instance, the communication interface **306** may be used to obtain information related to current, future, and past prices for power, power availability, current and predicted weather conditions, and information regarding the different datacenters (e.g., current workloads at datacenters, types of computing systems available within datacenters, price to obtain power at each datacenter, levels of power storage available and accessible at each datacenter, etc.). In an example, the communication interface **306** can include a wired interface, such as an Ethernet interface or a high-definition serial-digital-interface (HD-SDI). In another example, the communication interface **406** can include a wireless interface, such as a cellular, satellite, WiMAX, or WI-FI interface. A connection can be a direct connection or an indirect connection, the latter being a connection that passes through and/or traverses one or more components, such as such as a router, switcher, or other network device. Likewise, a wireless transmission can be a direct transmission or an indirect transmission. The communication interface **306** may also utilize other types of wireless communication to enable communication with datacenters positioned at various locations.

The communication interface **306** may enable the remote master control system **300** to communicate with the components of the arrangement of FIG. **2**. In addition, the communication interface **306** may also be used to communicate with the various datacenters, power sources, and different enterprises submitting computational operations for the datacenters to support.

The user interface **308** can facilitate interaction between the remote master control system **300** and an administrator or user, if applicable. As such, the user interface **308** can include input components such as a keyboard, a keypad, a mouse, a touch-sensitive panel, a microphone, and/or a camera, and/or output components such as a display device (which, for example, can be combined with a touch-sensitive panel), a sound speaker, and/or a haptic feedback system. More generally, the user interface **308** can include hardware and/or software components that facilitate interaction between remote master control system **300** and the user of the system.

In some examples, the user interface **308** may enable the manual examination and/or manipulation of components within the arrangement of FIG. **2**. For instance, an administrator or user may use the user interface **308** to check the status of, or change, one or more computational operations, the performance or power consumption at one or more datacenters, the number of tasks remaining within the queue system **312**, and other operations. As such, the user interface **308** may provide remote connectivity to one or more systems within the arrangement of FIG. **2**.

The operations and environment analysis module **310** represents a component of the remote master control system **300** associated with obtaining and analyzing information to develop instructions/directives for components within the arrangement of FIG. **2**. The information analyzed by the operations and environment analysis module **310** can vary within examples and may include the information described above with respect predicting and/or directing the use of BTM power. For instance, the operations and environment analysis module **310** may obtain and access information related to the current power state of computing systems operating as part of the flexible datacenters **220** and other datacenters that the remote master control system **300** has access to. This information may be used to determine when to adjust power usage or mode of one or more computing

systems. In addition, the remote master control system **300** may provide instructions a flexible datacenter **220** to cause a subset of the computing systems to transition into a low power mode to consume less power while still performing operations at a slower rate. The remote master control system **300** may also use power state information to cause a set of computing systems at a flexible datacenter **220** to operate at a higher power consumption mode. In addition, the remote master control system **300** may transition computing systems into sleep states or power on/off based on information analyzed by the operations and environment analysis module **310**.

In some examples, the operations and environment analysis module **310** may use location, weather, activity levels at the flexible datacenters or the generation station, and power cost information to determine control strategies for one or more components in the arrangement of FIG. **2**. For instance, the remote master control system **300** may use location information for one or more datacenters to anticipate potential weather conditions that could impact access to power. In addition, the operations and environment analysis module **310** may assist the remote master control system **300** determine whether to transfer computational operations between datacenters based on various economic and power factors.

The queue system **312** represents a queue capable of organizing computational operations to be performed by one or more datacenters. Upon receiving a request to perform a computational operation, the remote master control system **300** may assign the computational operation to the queue until one or more computing systems are available to support the computational operation. The queue system **312** may be used for organizing and transferring computational tasks in real time.

The organizational design of the queue system **312** may vary within examples. In some examples, the queue system **312** may organize indications (e.g., tags, pointers) to sets of computational operations requested by various enterprises. The queue system **312** may operate as a First-In-First-Out (FIFO) data structure. In a FIFO data structure, the first element added to the queue will be the first one to be removed. As such, the queue system **312** may include one or more queues that operate using the FIFO data structure.

In some examples, one or more queues within the queue system **312** may use other designs of queues, including rules to rank or organize queues in a particular manner that can prioritize some sets of computational operations over others. The rules may include one or more of an estimated cost and/or revenue to perform each set of computational operations, an importance assigned to each set of computational operations, and deadlines for initiating or completing each set of computational operations, among others. Examples using a queue system are further described below with respect to FIG. **9**.

In some examples, the remote master control system **300** may be configured to monitor one or more auctions to obtain computational operations for datacenters to support. Particularly, the remote master control system **300** may use resource availability and power prices to develop and submit bids to an external or internal auction system for the right to support particular computational operations. As a result, the remote master control system **300** may identify computational operations that could be supported at one or more flexible datacenters **220** at low costs.

FIG. **4** is a block diagram of a generation station **400**, according to one or more example embodiments. Generation station **202** may take the form of generation station **400**, or may include less than all components in generation station **400**, different components than in generation station **400**, and/or more components than in generation station **400**. The generation station **400** includes power generation equipment **401**, a communication interface **408**, a behind-the-meter interface **406**, a grid interface **404**, a user interface **410**, a generation station control system **414**, and power transformation equipment **402**. The power generation equipment **210** may take the form of power generation equipment **401**, or may include less than all components in power generation equipment **401**, different components than in power generation equipment **401**, and/or more components than in power generation equipment **401**. Generation station control system **216** may take the form of generation station control system **414**, or may include less than all components in generation station control system **414**, different components than in generation station control system **414**, and/or more components than in generation station control system **414**. Some or all of the components generation station **400** may be connected via a communication interface **516**. These components are illustrated in FIG. **4** to convey an example configuration for the generation station **400** (corresponding to generation station **202** shown in FIG. **2**). In other examples, the generation station **400** may include more or fewer components in other arrangements.

The generation station **400** can correspond to any type of grid-connected utility-scale power producer capable of supplying power to one or more loads. The size, amount of power generated, and other characteristics of the generation station **400** may differ within examples. For instance, the generation station **400** may be a power producer that provides power intermittently. The power generation may depend on monitored power conditions, such as weather at the location of the generation station **400** and other possible conditions. As such, the generation station **400** may be a temporary arrangement, or a permanent facility, configured to supply power. The generation station **400** may supply BTM power to one or more loads and supply metered power to the electrical grid. Particularly, the generation station **400** may supply power to the grid as shown in the arrangement of FIG. **2**.

The power generation equipment **401** represents the component or components configured to generate utility-scale power. As such, the power generation equipment **401** may depend on the type of facility that the generation station **400** corresponds to. For instance, the power generation equipment **401** may correspond to electric generators that transform kinetic energy into electricity. The power generation equipment **401** may use electromagnetic induction to generate power. In other examples, the power generation equipment **401** may utilize electrochemistry to transform chemical energy into power. The power generation equipment **401** may use the photovoltaic effect to transform light into electrical energy. In some examples, the power generation equipment **401** may use turbines to generate power. The turbines may be driven by, for example, wind, water, steam or burning gas. Other examples of power production are possible.

The communication interface **408** can enable the generation station **400** to communicate with other components within the arrangement of FIG. **2**. As such, the communication interface **408** may operate similarly to the communication interface **306** of the remote master control system **300** and the communication interface **503** of the flexible datacenter **500**.

The generation station control system **414** may be one or more computing systems configured to control various aspects of the generation station **400**.

The BTM interface **406** is a module configured to enable the power generation equipment **401** to supply BTM power to one or more loads and may include multiple components. The arrangement of the BTM interface **406** may differ within examples based on various factors, such as the number of flexible datacenters **220** (or **500**) coupled to the generation station **400**, the proximity of the flexible datacenters **220** (or **500**), and the type of generation station **400**, among others. In some examples, the BTM interface **406** may be configured to enable power delivery to one or more flexible datacenters positioned near the generation station **400**. Alternatively, the BTM interface **406** may also be configured to enable power delivery to one or more flexible datacenters **220** (or **500**) positioned remotely from the generation station **400**.

The grid interface **404** is a module configured to enable the power generation equipment **401** to supply power to the grid and may include multiple components. As such, the grid interface **404** may couple to one or more transmission lines (e.g., transmission lines **404***a* shown in FIG. **2**) to enable delivery of power to the grid.

The user interface **410** represents an interface that enables administrators and/or other entities to communicate with the generation station **400**. As such, the user interface **410** may have a configuration that resembles the configuration of the user interface **308** shown in FIG. **3**. An operator may utilize the user interface **410** to control or monitor operations at the generation station **400**.

The power transformation equipment **402** represents equipment that can be utilized to enable power delivery from the power generation equipment **401** to the loads and to transmission lines linked to the grid. Example power transformation equipment **402** includes, but is not limited to, transformers, inverters, phase converters, and power conditioners.

FIG. **5** shows a block diagram of a flexible datacenter **500**, according to one or more example embodiments. Flexible datacenters **220** may take the form of flexible datacenter **500**, or may include less than all components in flexible datacenter **500**, different components than in flexible datacenter **500**, and/or more components than in flexible datacenter **500**. In the example embodiment shown in FIG. **5**, the flexible datacenter **500** includes a power input system **502**, a communication interface **503**, a datacenter control system **504**, a power distribution system **506**, a climate control system **508**, one or more sets of computing systems **512**, and a queue system **514**. These components are shown connected by a communication bus **528**. In other embodiments, the configuration of flexible datacenter **500** can differ, including more or fewer components. In addition, the components within flexible datacenter **500** may be combined or further divided into additional components within other embodiments.

The example configuration shown in FIG. **5** represents one possible configuration for a flexible datacenter. As such, each flexible datacenter may have a different configuration when implemented based on a variety of factors that may influence its design, such as location and temperature that the location, particular uses for the flexible datacenter, source of power supplying computing systems within the flexible datacenter, design influence from an entity (or entities) that implements the flexible datacenter, and space available for the flexible datacenter. Thus, the embodiment of flexible datacenter **220** shown in FIG. **2** represents one possible configuration for a flexible datacenter out of many other possible configurations.

The flexible datacenter **500** may include a design that allows for temporary and/or rapid deployment, setup, and start time for supporting computational operations. For instance, the flexible datacenter **500** may be rapidly deployed at a location near a source of generation station power (e.g., near a wind farm or solar farm). Rapid deployment may involve positioning the flexible datacenter **500** at a target location and installing and/or configuring one or more racks of computing systems within. The racks may include wheels to enable swift movement of the computing systems. Although the flexible datacenter **500** could theoretically be placed anywhere, transmission losses may be minimized by locating it proximate to BTM power generation.

The physical construction and layout of the flexible datacenter **500** can vary. In some instances, the flexible datacenter **500** may utilize a metal container (e.g., a metal container **602** shown in FIG. **6**A). In general, the flexible datacenter **500** may utilize some form of secure weatherproof housing designed to protect interior components from wind, weather, and intrusion. The physical construction and layout of example flexible datacenters are further described with respect to FIGS. **6**A-**6**B.

Within the flexible datacenter **500**, various internal components enable the flexible datacenter **500** to utilize power to perform some form of operations. The power input system **502** is a module of the flexible datacenter **500** configured to receive external power and input the power to the different components via assistance from the power distribution system **506**. As discussed with respect to FIG. **2**, the sources of external power feeding a flexible datacenter can vary in both quantity and type (e.g., the generation stations **202**, **400**, grid-power, energy storage systems). Power input system **502** includes a BTM power input sub-system **522**, and may additionally include other power input sub-systems (e.g., a grid-power input sub-system **524** and/or an energy storage input sub-system **526**). In some instances, the quantity of power input sub-systems may depend on the size of the flexible datacenter and the number and/or type of computing systems being powered. In an example embodiment, the flexible datacenter may use grid power as the primary power supply.

In some embodiments, the power input system **502** may include some or all of flexible datacenter Power Equipment **220**B. The power input system **502** may be designed to obtain power in different forms (e.g., single phase or three-phase behind-the-meter alternating current ("AC") voltage, and/or direct current ("DC") voltage). As shown, the power input system **502** includes a BTM power input sub-system **522**, a grid power input sub-system **524**, and an energy input sub-system **526**. These sub-systems are included to illustrate example power input sub-systems that the flexible datacenter **500** may utilize, but other examples are possible. In addition, in some instances, these sub-systems may be used simultaneously to supply power to components of the flexible datacenter **500**. The sub-systems may also be used based on available power sources.

In some implementations, the BTM power input sub-system **522** may include one or more AC-to-AC step-down transformers used to step down supplied medium-voltage AC to low voltage AC (e.g., 120V to 600V nominal) used to power computing systems **512** and/or other components of flexible datacenter **500**. The power input system **502** may also directly receive single-phase low voltage AC from a

generation station as BTM power, from grid power, or from a stored energy system such as energy storage system **218**. In some implementations, the power input system **502** may provide single-phase AC voltage to the datacenter control system **504** (and/or other components of flexible datacenter **500**) independent of power supplied to computing systems **512** to enable the datacenter control system **504** to perform management operations for the flexible datacenter **500**. For instance, the grid power input sub-system **524** may use grid power to supply power to the datacenter control system **504** to ensure that the datacenter control system **504** can perform control operations and communicate with the remote master control system **300** (or **262**) during situations when BTM power is not available. As such, the datacenter control system **504** may utilize power received from the power input system **502** to remain powered to control the operation of flexible datacenter **500**, even if the computational operations performed by the computing system **512** are powered intermittently. In some instances, the datacenter control system **504** may switch into a lower power mode to utilize less power while still maintaining the ability to perform some functions.

The power distribution system **506** may distribute incoming power to the various components of the flexible datacenter **500**. For instance, the power distribution system **506** may direct power (e.g., single-phase or three-phase AC) to one or more components within flexible datacenter **500**. In some embodiments, the power distribution system **506** may include some or all of flexible datacenter Power Equipment **220**B.

In some examples, the power input system **502** may provide three phases of three-phase AC voltage to the power distribution system **506**. The power distribution system **506** may controllably provide a single phase of AC voltage to each computing system or groups of computing systems **512** disposed within the flexible datacenter **500**. The datacenter control system **504** may controllably select which phase of three-phase nominal AC voltage that power distribution system **506** provides to each computing system **512** or groups of computing systems **512**. This is one example manner in which the datacenter control system **504** may modulate power delivery (and load at the flexible datacenter **500**) by ramping-up flexible datacenter **500** to fully operational status, ramping-down flexible datacenter **500** to offline status (where only datacenter control system **504** remains powered), reducing load by withdrawing power delivery from, or reducing power to, one or more of the computing systems **512** or groups of the computing systems **512**, or modulating power factor correction for the generation station **300** (or **202**) by controllably adjusting which phases of three-phase nominal AC voltage are used by one or more of the computing systems **512** or groups of the computing systems **512**. The datacenter control system **504** may direct power to certain sets of computing systems based on computational operations waiting for computational resources within the queue system **514**. In some embodiments, the flexible datacenter **500** may receive BTM DC power to power the computing systems **512**.

One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that a voltage level of three-phase AC voltage may vary based on an application or design and the type or kind of local power generation. As such, a type, kind, or configuration of the operational AC-to-AC step down transformer (not shown) may vary based on the application or design. In addition, the frequency and voltage level of three-phase AC voltage, single-phase AC voltage, and DC voltage may vary based on the application or design in accordance with one or more embodiments.

As discussed above, the datacenter control system **504** may perform operations described herein, such as dynamically modulating power delivery to one or more of the computing systems **512** disposed within flexible datacenter **500**. For instance, the datacenter control system **504** may modulate power delivery to one or more of the computing systems **512** based on various factors, such as BTM power availability or an operational directive from a generation station **262** or **300** control system, a remote master control system **262** or **300**, or a grid operator. In some examples, the datacenter control system **504** may provide computational operations to sets of computing systems **512** and modulate power delivery based on priorities assigned to the computational operations. For instance, an important computational operation (e.g., based on a deadline for execution and/or price paid by an entity) may be assigned to a particular computing system or set of computing systems **512** that has the capacity, computational abilities to support the computational operation. In addition, the datacenter control system **504** may also prioritize power delivery to the computing system or set of computing systems **512**.

In some example, the datacenter control system **504** may further provide directives to one or more computing systems to change operations in some manner. For instance, the datacenter control system **504** may cause one or more computing systems **512** to operate at a lower or higher frequency, change clock cycles, or operate in a different power consumption mode (e.g., a low power mode). These abilities may vary depending on types of computing systems **512** available at the flexible datacenter **500**. As a result, the datacenter control system **504** may be configured to analyze the computing systems **512** available either on a periodic basis (e.g., during initial set up of the flexible datacenter **500**) or in another manner (e.g., when a new computational operation is assigned to the flexible datacenter **500**).

The datacenter control system **504** may also implement directives received from the remote master control system **262** or **300**. For instance, the remote master control system **262** or **300** may direct the flexible datacenter **500** to switch into a low power mode. As a result, one or more of the computing systems **512** and other components may switch to the low power mode in response.

The datacenter control system **504** may utilize the communication interface **503** to communicate with the remote master control system **262** or **300**, other datacenter control systems of other datacenters, and other entities. As such, the communication interface **503** may include components and operate similar to the communication interface **306** of the remote master control system **300** described with respect to FIG. **4**.

The flexible datacenter **500** may also include a climate control system **508** to maintain computing systems **512** within a desired operational temperature range. The climate control system **508** may include various components, such as one or more air intake components, an evaporative cooling system, one or more fans, an immersive cooling system, an air conditioning or refrigerant cooling system, and one or more air outtake components. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that any suitable heat extraction system configured to maintain the operation of computing systems **512** within the desired operational temperature range may be used.

The flexible datacenter **500** may further include an energy storage system **510**. The energy storage system **510** may

store energy for subsequent use by computing systems **512** and other components of flexible datacenter **500**. For instance, the energy storage system **510** may include a battery system. The battery system may be configured to convert AC voltage to DC voltage and store power in one or more storage cells. In some instances, the battery system may include a DC-to-AC inverter configured to convert DC voltage to AC voltage, and may further include an AC phase-converter, to provide AC voltage for use by flexible datacenter **500**.

The energy storage system **510** may be configured to serve as a backup source of power for the flexible datacenter **500**. For instance, the energy storage system **510** may receive and retain power from a BTM power source at a low cost (or no cost at all). This low-cost power can then be used by the flexible datacenter **500** at a subsequent point, such as when BTM power costs more. Similarly, the energy storage system **510** may also store energy from other sources (e.g., grid power). As such, the energy storage system **510** may be configured to use one or more of the sub-systems of the power input system **502**.

In some examples, the energy storage system **510** may be external to the flexible datacenter **500**. For instance, the energy storage system **510** may be an external source that multiple flexible datacenters utilize for back-up power.

The computing systems **512** represent various types of computing systems configured to perform computational operations. Performance of computational operations include a variety of tasks that one or more computing systems may perform, such as data storage, calculations, application processing, parallel processing, data manipulation, cryptocurrency mining, and maintenance of a distributed ledger, among others. As shown in FIG. **5**, the computing systems **512** may include one or more CPUs **516**, one or more GPUs **518**, and/or one or more Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (ASIC's) **520**. Each type of computing system **512** may be configured to perform particular operations or types of operations.

Due to different performance features and abilities associated with the different types of computing systems, the datacenter control system **504** may determine, maintain, and/or relay this information about the types and/or abilities of the computing systems, quantity of each type, and availability to the remote master control system **262** or **300** on a routine basis (e.g., periodically or on-demand). This way, the remote master control system **262** or **300** may have current information about the abilities of the computing systems **512** when distributing computational operations for performance at one or more flexible datacenters. Particularly, the remote master control system **262** or **300** may assign computational operations based on various factors, such as the types of computing systems available and the type of computing systems required by each computing operation, the availability of the computing systems, whether computing systems can operate in a low power mode, and/or power consumption and/or costs associated with operating the computing systems, among others.

The quantity and arrangement of these computing systems **512** may vary within examples. In some examples, the configuration and quantity of computing systems **512** may depend on various factors, such as the computational tasks that are performed by the flexible datacenter **500**. In other examples, the computing systems **512** may include other types of computing systems as well, such as DSPs, SIMDs, neural processors, and/or quantum processors.

As indicated above, the computing systems **512** can perform various computational operations, including in different configurations. For instance, each computing system may perform a particular computational operation unrelated to the operations performed at other computing systems. Groups of the computing systems **512** may also be used to work together to perform computational operations.

In some examples, multiple computing systems may perform the same computational operation in a redundant configuration. This redundant configuration creates a back-up that prevents losing progress on the computational operation in situations of a computing failure or intermittent operation of one or more computing systems. In addition, the computing systems **512** may also perform computational operations using a check point system. The check point system may enable a first computing system to perform operations up to a certain point (e.g., a checkpoint) and switch to a second computing system to continue performing the operations from that certain point. The check point system may also enable the datacenter control system **504** to communicate statuses of computational operations to the remote master control system **262** or **300**. This can further enable the remote master control system **262 300** to transfer computational operations between different flexible datacenters allowing computing systems at the different flexible datacenters to resume support of computational operations based on the check points.

The queue system **514** may operate similar to the queue system **312** of the remote master control system **300** shown in FIG. **3**. Particularly, the queue system **514** may help store and organize computational tasks assigned for performance at the flexible datacenter **500**. In some examples, the queue system **514** may be part of a distributed queue system such that each flexible datacenter in a fleet of flexible datacenter includes a queue, and each queue system **514** may be able to communicate with other queue systems. In addition, the remote master control system **262** or **300** may be configured to assign computational tasks to the queues located at each flexible datacenter (e.g., the queue system **514** of the flexible datacenter **500**). As such, communication between the remote master control system **262** or **300** and the datacenter control system **504** and/or the queue system **514** may allow organization of computational operations for the flexible datacenter **500** to support.

FIG. **6**A shows another structural arrangement for a flexible datacenter, according to one or more example embodiments. The particular structural arrangement shown in FIG. **6**A may be implemented at flexible datacenter **500**. The illustration depicts the flexible datacenter **500** as a mobile container **702** equipped with the power input system **502**, the power distribution system **506**, the climate control system **508**, the datacenter control system **504**, and the computing systems **512** arranged on one or more racks **604**. These components of flexible datacenter **500** may be arranged and organized according to an example structural region arrangement. As such, the example illustration represents one possible configuration for the flexible datacenter **500**, but others are possible within examples.

As discussed above, the structural arrangement of the flexible datacenter **500** may depend on various factors, such as the ability to maintain temperature within the mobile container **602** within a desired temperature range. The desired temperature range may depend on the geographical location of the mobile container **602** and the type and quantity of the computing systems **512** operating within the flexible datacenter **500** as well as other possible factors. As such, the different design elements of the mobile container **602** including the inner contents and positioning of components may depend on factors that aim to maximize the use

of space within mobile container **602**, lower the amount of power required to cool the computing systems **512**, and make setup of the flexible datacenter **500** efficient. For instance, a first flexible datacenter positioned in a cooler geographic region may include less cooling equipment than a second flexible datacenter positioned in a warmer geographic region.

As shown in FIG. **6**A, the mobile container **602** may be a storage trailer disposed on permanent or removable wheels and configured for rapid deployment. In other embodiments, the mobile container **602** may be a storage container (not shown) configured for placement on the ground and potentially stacked in a vertical or horizontal manner (not shown). In still other embodiments, the mobile container **602** may be an inflatable container, a floating container, or any other type or kind of container suitable for housing a mobile flexible datacenter. As such, the flexible datacenter **500** may be rapidly deployed on site near a source of unutilized behind-the-meter power generation. And in still other embodiments, the flexible datacenter **500** might not include a mobile container. For example, the flexible datacenter **500** may be situated within a building or another type of stationary environment.

FIG. **6**B shows the computing systems **512** in a straight-line configuration for installation within the flexible datacenter **500**, according to one or more example embodiments. As indicated above, the flexible datacenter **500** may include a plurality of racks **604**, each of which may include one or more computing systems **512** disposed therein. As discussed above, the power input system **502** may provide three phases of AC voltage to the power distribution system **506**. In some examples, the power distribution system **506** may controllably provide a single phase of AC voltage to each computing system **512** or group of computing systems **512** disposed within the flexible datacenter **500**. As shown in FIG. **6**B, for purposes of illustration only, eighteen total racks **604** are divided into a first group of six racks **606**, a second group of six racks **608**, and a third group of six racks **610**, where each rack contains eighteen computing systems **512**. The power distribution system (**506** of FIG. **5**) may, for example, provide a first phase of three-phase AC voltage to the first group of six racks **606**, a second phase of three-phase AC voltage to the second group of six racks **608**, and a third phase of three-phase AC voltage to the third group of six racks **610**. In other embodiments, the quantity of racks and computing systems can vary.

FIG. **7** shows a control distribution system **700** of the flexible datacenter **500** according to one or more example embodiments. The system **700** includes a grid operator **702**, a generation station control system **216**, a remote master control system **300**, and a flexible datacenter **500**. As such, the system **700** represents one example configuration for controlling operations of the flexible datacenter **500**, but other configurations may include more or fewer components in other arrangements.

The datacenter control system **504** may independently or cooperatively with one or more of the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, and/or the grid operator **702** modulate power at the flexible datacenter **500**. During operations, the power delivery to the flexible datacenter **500** may be dynamically adjusted based on conditions or operational directives. The conditions may correspond to economic conditions (e.g., cost for power, aspects of computational operations to be performed), power-related conditions (e.g., availability of the power, the sources offering power), demand response, and/or weather-related conditions, among others.

The generation station control system **414** may be one or more computing systems configured to control various aspects of a generation station (not independently illustrated, e.g., **216** or **400**). As such, the generation station control system **414** may communicate with the remote master control system **300** over a networked connection **706** and with the datacenter control system **704** over a networked or other data connection **708**.

As discussed with respect to FIGS. **2** and **3**, the remote master control system **300** can be one or more computing systems located offsite, but connected via a network connection **710** to the datacenter control system **504**. The remote master control system **300** may provide supervisory controls or override control of the flexible datacenter **500** or a fleet of flexible datacenters (not shown).

The grid operator **702** may be one or more computing systems that are configured to control various aspects of the power grid (not independently illustrated) that receives power from the generation station. The grid operator **702** may communicate with the generation station control system **300** over a networked or other data connection **712**.

The datacenter control system **504** may monitor BTM power conditions at the generation station and determine when a datacenter ramp-up condition is met. The BTM power availability may include one or more of excess local power generation, excess local power generation that the grid cannot accept, local power generation that is subject to economic curtailment, local power generation that is subject to reliability curtailment, local power generation that is subject to power factor correction, conditions where the cost for power is economically viable (e.g., low cost to obtain power), low priced power, situations where local power generation is prohibitively low, start up situations, transient situations, or testing situations where there is an economic advantage to using locally generated behind-the-meter power generation, specifically power available at little to no cost and with no associated transmission or distribution losses or costs. For example, a datacenter control system may analyze future workload and near term weather conditions at the flexible datacenter.

In some instances, the datacenter ramp-up condition may be met if there is sufficient behind-the-meter power availability and there is no operational directive from the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702** to go offline or reduce power. As such, the datacenter control system **504** may enable **714** the power input system **502** to provide power to the power distribution system **506** to power the computing systems **512** or a subset thereof.

The datacenter control system **504** may optionally direct one or more computing systems **512** to perform predetermined computational operations (e.g., distributed computing processes). For example, if the one or more computing systems **512** are configured to perform blockchain hashing operations, the datacenter control system **504** may direct them to perform blockchain hashing operations for a specific blockchain application, such as, for example, Bitcoin, Litecoin, or Ethereum. Alternatively, one or more computing systems **512** may be configured to perform high-throughput computing operations and/or high performance computing operations.

The remote master control system **300** may specify to the datacenter control system **504** what sufficient behind-the-meter power availability constitutes, or the datacenter control system **504** may be programmed with a predetermined preference or criteria on which to make the determination independently. For example, in certain circumstances, suf-

ficient behind-the-meter power availability may be less than that required to fully power the entire flexible datacenter **500**. In such circumstances, the datacenter control system **504** may provide power to only a subset of computing systems, or operate the plurality of computing systems in a lower power mode, that is within the sufficient, but less than full, range of power that is available. In addition, the computing systems **512** may adjust operational frequency, such as performing more or less processes during a given duration. The computing systems **512** may also adjust internal clocks via over-clocking or under-clocking when performing operations.

While the flexible datacenter **500** is online and operational, a datacenter ramp-down condition may be met when there is insufficient or anticipated to be insufficient, behind-the-meter power availability or there is an operational directive from the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702**. The datacenter control system **504** may monitor and determine when there is insufficient, or anticipated to be insufficient, behind-the-meter power availability. As noted above, sufficiency may be specified by the remote master control system **300** or the datacenter control system **504** may be programmed with a predetermined preference or criteria on which to make the determination independently.

An operational directive may be based on current dispatch-ability, forward looking forecasts for when behind-the-meter power is, or is expected to be, available, economic considerations, reliability considerations, operational considerations, or the discretion of the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702**. For example, the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702** may issue an operational directive to flexible datacenter **500** to go offline and power down. When the datacenter ramp-down condition is met, the datacenter control system **504** may disable power delivery to the plurality of computing systems (e.g., **512**). The datacenter control system **504** may disable **714** the power input system **502** from providing power (e.g., three-phase nominal AC voltage) to the power distribution system **506** to power down the computing systems **512** while the datacenter control system **504** remains powered and is capable of returning service to operating mode at the flexible datacenter **500** when behind-the-meter power becomes available again.

While the flexible datacenter **500** is online and operational, changed conditions or an operational directive may cause the datacenter control system **504** to modulate power consumption by the flexible datacenter **500**. The datacenter control system **504** may determine, or the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702** may communicate, that a change in local conditions may result in less power generation, availability, or economic feasibility, than would be necessary to fully power the flexible datacenter **500**. In such situations, the datacenter control system **504** may take steps to reduce or stop power consumption by the flexible datacenter **500** (other than that required to maintain operation of datacenter control system **504**).

Alternatively, the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702**, may issue an operational directive to reduce power consumption for any reason, the cause of which may be unknown. In response, the datacenter control system **504** may dynamically reduce or withdraw power delivery to one or more computing systems **512** to meet the dictate. The datacenter control system **504** may controllably provide

three-phase nominal AC voltage to a smaller subset of computing systems (e.g., **512**) to reduce power consumption. The datacenter control system **504** may dynamically reduce the power consumption of one or more computing systems by reducing their operating frequency or forcing them into a lower power mode through a network directive.

Similarly, the flexible datacenter **500** may ramp up power consumption based on various conditions. For instance, the datacenter control system **504** may determine, or the generation control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702** may communicate, that a change in local conditions may result in greater power generation, availability, or economic feasibility. In such situations, the datacenter control system **504** may take steps to increase power consumption by the flexible datacenter **500**.

Alternatively, the generation station control system **414**, the remote master control system **300**, or the grid operator **702**, may issue an operational directive to increase power consumption for any reason, the cause of which may be unknown. In response, the datacenter control system **504** may dynamically increase power delivery to one or more computing systems **512** (or operations at the computing systems **512**) to meet the dictate. For instance, one or more computing systems **512** may transition into a higher power mode, which may involve increasing power consumption and/or operation frequency.

One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that datacenter control system **504** may be configured to have a number of different configurations, such as a number or type or kind of the computing systems **512** that may be powered, and in what operating mode, that correspond to a number of different ranges of sufficient and available behind-the-meter power. As such, the datacenter control system **504** may modulate power delivery over a variety of ranges of sufficient and available unutilized behind-the-meter power availability.

FIG. **8** shows a control distribution system **800** of a fleet of flexible datacenters according to one or more example embodiments. The control distribution system **800** of the flexible datacenter **500** shown and described with respect to FIG. **7** may be extended to a fleet of flexible datacenters as illustrated in FIG. **8**. For example, a first generation station (not independently illustrated), such as a wind farm, may include a first plurality of flexible datacenters **802**, which may be collocated or distributed across the generation station. A second generation station (not independently illustrated), such as another wind farm or a solar farm, may include a second plurality of flexible datacenters **804**, which may be collocated or distributed across the generation station. One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the number of flexible datacenters deployed at a given station and the number of stations within the fleet may vary based on an application or design in accordance with one or more example embodiments.

The remote master control system **300** may provide directive to datacenter control systems of the fleet of flexible datacenters in a similar manner to that shown and described with respect to FIG. **7**, with the added flexibility to make high level decisions with respect to fleet that may be counterintuitive to a given station. The remote master control system **300** may make decisions regarding the issuance of operational directives to a given generation station based on, for example, the status of each generation station where flexible datacenters are deployed, the workload distributed across fleet, and the expected computational demand required for one or both of the expected workload and

predicted power availability. In addition, the remote master control system **300** may shift workloads from the first plurality of flexible datacenters **802** to the second plurality of flexible datacenters **804** for any reason, including, for example, a loss of BTM power availability at one generation station and the availability of BTM power at another generation station. As such, the remote master control system **300** may communicate with the generation station control systems **806**A, **806**B to obtain information that can be used to organize and distribute computational operations to the fleets of flexible datacenters **802**, **804**.

FIG. **9** shows a queue distribution arrangement for a traditional datacenter **902** and a flexible datacenter **500**, according to one or more example embodiments. The arrangement of FIG. **9** includes a flexible datacenter **500**, a traditional datacenter **902**, a queue system **312**, a set of communication links **916**, **918**, **920**A, **920**B, and the remote master control system **300**. The arrangement of FIG. **9** represents an example configuration scheme that can be used to distribute computing operations using a queue system **312** between the traditional datacenter **902** and one or more flexible datacenters. In other examples, the arrangement of FIG. **9** may include more or fewer components in other potential configurations. For instance, the arrangement of FIG. **9** may not include the queue system **312** or may include routes that bypass the queue system **312**.

The arrangement of FIG. **9** may enable computational operations requested to be performed by entities (e.g., companies). As such, the arrangement of FIG. **9** may use the queue system **312** to organize incoming computational operations requests to enable efficient distribution to the flexible datacenter **500** and the critical traditional datacenter **902**. Particularly, the arrangement of FIG. **9** may use the queue system **312** to organize sets of computational operations thereby increasing the speed of distribution and performance of the different computational operations among datacenters. As a result, the use of the queue system **312** may reduce time to complete operations and reduce costs.

In some examples, one or more components, such as the datacenter control system **504**, the remote master control system **300**, the queue system **312**, or the control system **936**, may be configured to identify situations that may arise where using the flexible datacenter **500** can reduce costs or increase productivity of the system, as compared to using the traditional datacenter **902** for computational operations. For example, a component within the arrangement of FIG. **9** may identify when using behind-the-meter power to power the computing systems **512** within the flexible datacenter **500** is at a lower cost compared to using the computing systems **934** within the traditional datacenter **902** that are powered by grid power. Additionally, a component in the arrangement of FIG. **9** may be configured to determine situations when offloading computational operations from the traditional datacenter **902** indirectly (i.e., via the queue system **312**) or directly (i.e., bypassing the queue system **312**) to the flexible datacenter **500** can increase the performance allotted to the computational operations requested by an entity (e.g., reduce the time required to complete time-sensitive computational operations).

In some examples, the datacenter control system **504** may monitor activity of the computing systems **512** within the flexible datacenter **500** and use the respective activity levels to determine when to obtain computational operations from the queue system **312**. For instance, the datacenter control system **504** may analyze various factors prior to requesting or accessing a set of computational operations or an indication of the computational operations for the computing systems **512** to perform. The various factors may include power availability at the flexible datacenter **500** (e.g., either stored or from a BTM source), availability of the computing systems **512** (e.g., percentage of computing systems available), type of computational operations available, estimated cost to perform the computational operations at the flexible datacenter **500**, cost for power, cost for power relative to cost for grid power, and instructions from other components within the system, among others. The datacenter control system **504** may analyze one or more of the factors when determining whether to obtain a new set of computational operations for the computing systems **512** to perform. In such a configuration, the datacenter control system **504** manages the activity of the flexible datacenter **500**, including determining when to acquire new sets of computational operations when capacity among the computing systems **512** permit.

In other examples, a component (e.g., the remote master control system **300**) within the system may assign or distribute one or more sets of computational operations organized by the queue system **312** to the flexible datacenter **500**. For example, the remote master control system **300** may manage the queue system **312**, including the distribution of computational operations organized by the queue system **312** to the flexible datacenter **500** and the traditional datacenter **902**. The remote master control system **300** may utilize to information described with respect to the Figures above to determine when to assign computational operations to the flexible datacenter **500**.

The traditional datacenter **902** may include a power input system **930**, a power distribution system **932**, a datacenter control system **936**, and a set of computing systems **934**. The power input system **930** may be configured to receive power from a power grid and distribute the power to the computing systems **934** via the power distribution system **932**. The datacenter control system **936** may monitor activity of the computing systems **934** and obtain computational operations to perform from the queue system **312**. The datacenter control system **936** may analyze various factors prior to requesting or accessing a set of computational operations or an indication of the computational operations for the computing systems **934** to perform. A component (e.g., the remote master control system **300**) within the arrangement of FIG. **9** may assign or distribute one or more sets of computational operations organized by the queue system **312** to the traditional datacenter **902**.

The communication link **916** represents one or more links that may serve to connect the flexible datacenter **500**, the traditional datacenter **902**, and other components within the system (e.g., the remote master control system **300**, the queue system **312**—connections not shown). In particular, the communication link **916** may enable direct or indirect communication between the flexible datacenter **500** and the traditional datacenter **902**. The type of communication link **916** may depend on the locations of the flexible datacenter **500** and the traditional datacenter **902**. Within embodiments, different types of communication links can be used, including but not limited to WAN connectivity, cloud-based connectivity, and wired and wireless communication links.

The queue system **312** represents an abstract data type capable of organizing computational operation requests received from entities. As each request for computational operations are received, the queue system **312** may organize the request in some manner for subsequent distribution to a datacenter. Different types of queues can make up the queue system **312** within embodiments. The queue system **312** may be a centralized queue that organizes all requests for com-

putational operations. As a centralized queue, all incoming requests for computational operations may be organized by the centralized queue.

In other examples, the queue system **312** may be distributed consisting of multiple queue sub-systems. In the distributed configuration, the queue system **312** may use multiple queue sub-systems to organize different sets of computational operations. Each queue sub-system may be used to organize computational operations based on various factors, such as according to deadlines for completing each set of computational operations, locations of enterprises submitting the computational operations, economic value associated with the completion of computational operations, and quantity of computing resources required for performing each set of computational operations. For instance, a first queue sub-system may organize sets of non-intensive computational operations and a second queue sub-system may organize sets of intensive computational operations. In some examples, the queue system **312** may include queue sub-systems located at each datacenter. This way, each datacenter (e.g., via a datacenter control system) may organize computational operations obtained at the datacenter until computing systems are able to start executing the computational operations. In some examples, the queue system **312** may move computational operations between different computing systems or different datacenters in real-time.

Within the arrangement of FIG. **9**, the queue system **312** is shown connected to the remote master control system **300** via the communication link **918**. In addition, the queue system **312** is also shown connected to the flexible datacenter via the communication **920**A and to the traditional datacenter **902** via the communication link **920**B. The communication links **918**, **920**A, **920**B may be similar to the communication link **916** and can be various types of communication links within examples.

The queue system **312** may include a computing system configured to organize and maintain queues within the queue system **312**. In another example, one or more other components of the system may maintain and support queues within the queue system **312**. For instance, the remote master control system **300** may maintain and support the queue system **312**. In other examples, multiple components may maintain and support the queue system **312** in a distributed manner, such as a blockchain configuration.

In some embodiments, the remote master control system **300** may serve as an intermediary that facilitates all communication between flexible datacenter **500** and the traditional datacenter **902**. Particularly, the traditional datacenter **902** or the flexible datacenter **500** might need to transmit communications to the remote master control system **300** in order to communicate with the other datacenter. As also shown, the remote master control system **300** may connect to the queue system **312** via the communication link **918**. Computational operations may be distributed between the queue system **312** and the remote master control system **300** via the communication link **918**. The computational operations may be transferred in real-time and mid-performance from one datacenter to another (e.g., from the traditional datacenter **902** to the flexible datacenter **500**). In addition, the remote master control system **300** may manage the queue system **312**, including providing resources to support queues within the queue system **312**.

As a result, the remote master control system **300** may offload some or all of the computational operations assigned to the traditional datacenter **902** to the flexible datacenter **500**. This way, the flexible datacenter **500** can reduce overall computational costs by using the behind-the-meter power to provide computational resources to assist traditional datacenter **902**. The remote master control system **300** may use the queue system **312** to temporarily store and organize the offloaded computational operations until a flexible datacenter (e.g., the flexible datacenter **500**) is available to perform them. The flexible datacenter **500** consumes behind-the-meter power without transmission or distribution costs, which lowers the costs associated with performing computational operations originally assigned to the traditional datacenter **902**. The remote master control system **300** may further communicate with the flexible datacenter **500** via communication link **922** and the traditional datacenter **902** via the communication link **924**.

FIG. **10**A shows method **1000** of dynamic power consumption at a flexible datacenter using behind-the-meter power according to one or more example embodiments. Other example methods may be used to manipulate the power delivery to one or more flexible datacenters.

In step **1010**, the datacenter control system, the remote master control system, or another computing system may monitor behind-the-meter power availability. In some embodiments, monitoring may include receiving information or an operational directive from the generation station control system or the grid operator corresponding to behind-the-meter power availability.

In step **1020**, the datacenter control system or the remote master control system **300** may determine when a datacenter ramp-up condition is met. In some embodiments, the datacenter ramp-up condition may be met when there is sufficient behind-the-meter power availability and there is no operational directive from the generation station to go offline or reduce power.

In step **1030**, the datacenter control system may enable behind-the-meter power delivery to one or more computing systems. In some instances, the remote mater control system may directly enable BTM power delivery to computing systems within the flexible system without instructing the datacenter control system.

In step **1040**, once ramped-up, the datacenter control system or the remote master control system may direct one or more computing systems to perform predetermined computational operations. In some embodiments, the predetermined computational operations may include the execution of one or more distributed computing processes, parallel processes, and/or hashing functions, among other types of processes.

While operational, the datacenter control system, the remote master control system, or another computing system may receive an operational directive to modulate power consumption. In some embodiments, the operational directive may be a directive to reduce power consumption. In such embodiments, the datacenter control system or the remote master control system may dynamically reduce power delivery to one or more computing systems or dynamically reduce power consumption of one or more computing systems. In other embodiments, the operational directive may be a directive to provide a power factor correction factor. In such embodiments, the datacenter control system or the remote master control system may dynamically adjust power delivery to one or more computing systems to achieve a desired power factor correction factor. In still other embodiments, the operational directive may be a directive to go offline or power down. In such embodiments, the datacenter control system may disable power delivery to one or more computing systems.

FIG. **10**B shows method **1050** of dynamic power delivery to a flexible datacenter using behind-the-meter power

according to one or more embodiments. In step **1060**, the datacenter control system or the remote master control system may monitor behind-the-meter power availability. In certain embodiments, monitoring may include receiving information or an operational directive from the generation station control system or the grid operator corresponding to behind-the-meter power availability.

In step **1070**, the datacenter control system or the remote master control system may determine when a datacenter ramp-down condition is met. In certain embodiments, the datacenter ramp-down condition may be met when there is insufficient behind-the-meter power availability or anticipated to be insufficient behind-the-meter power availability or there is an operational directive from the generation station to go offline or reduce power.

In step **1080**, the datacenter control system may disable behind-the-meter power delivery to one or more computing systems. In step **1090**, once ramped-down, the datacenter control system remains powered and in communication with the remote master control system so that it may dynamically power the flexible datacenter when conditions change.

One of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that a datacenter control system may dynamically modulate power delivery to one or more computing systems of a flexible datacenter based on behind-the-meter power availability or an operational directive. The flexible datacenter may transition between a fully powered down state (while the datacenter control system remains powered), a fully powered up state, and various intermediate states in between. In addition, flexible datacenter may have a blackout state, where all power consumption, including that of the datacenter control system is halted. However, once the flexible datacenter enters the blackout state, it will have to be manually rebooted to restore power to datacenter control system. Generation station conditions or operational directives may cause flexible datacenter to ramp-up, reduce power consumption, change power factor, or ramp-down.

FIG. **11** illustrates a block diagram of a system for implementing control strategies based on a power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments. The system **1100** represents an example arrangement that includes a control system (e.g., the remote master control system **262**), a load (e.g., one or more of the datacenters **1102**, **1104**, and **1106**), and a power entity **1140**, which may establish and operate in accordance with a power option agreement. Additional arrangements are possible within examples.

In general, a power option agreement is an agreement between a power entity **1140** associated with the delivery of power to a load (e.g., a grid operator, power generation station, or local control station) and the load (e.g., the datacenters **1102-1106**). As part of the power option agreement, the load (e.g., load operator, contracting agent for the load, semi-automated control system associated with the load, and/or automated control system associated with the load) provides the power entity **1140** with the right, but not obligation, to reduce the amount of power delivered (e.g., grid power) to the load up to an agreed amount of power during an agreed upon time interval. In order to provide the power entity **1140** with this option, the load needs to be using at least the amount of power subject to the option (e.g., a minimum power threshold). For instance, the load may agree to use at least 1 MW of grid power at all times during a specified 24-hour time interval to provide the power entity **1140** with the option of being able to reduce the amount of power delivered to the load by any amount up to 1 MW at any point during the specified 24-hour time interval. The

load may grant the power entity **1140** with this option in exchange for a monetary consideration (e.g., receive power at a reduced price and/or monetary payment if the option is exercised by the power entity).

The power option agreement may be used by the power entity **1140** to reserve the right to reduce the amount of grid power delivered to the load during a set time frame (e.g., the next 24 hours). For instance, the power entity **1140** may exercise a predefined power option to reduce the amount of grid power delivered to the load during a time when the grid power may be better redirected to other loads coupled to the power grid. As such, the power entity **1140** may exercise power option agreements to balance loads coupled to the power grid. In some embodiments, a power option agreement may also specify other parameters, such as costs associated with different levels of power consumption and/or maximum power thresholds for the load to operate according to.

To illustrate an example, a power option agreement may specify that a load (e.g., the datacenters **1102-1106**) is required to use at least 10 MW or more at all times during the next 12 hours. Thus, the minimum power threshold according to the power option agreement is 10 MW and this minimum power threshold extends across the time interval of the next 12 hours. In order to comply with the agreement, the load must subsequently operate using 10 MW or more power at all times during the next 12 hours. This way, the load can accommodate a situation where the power entity **1140** exercises the option. Particularly, exercising the option may trigger the load to reduce the amount of power it consumes by an amount up to 10 MW at any point during the 12 hour interval. By establishing this power option agreement, the power entity **1140** can manipulate the amount of power consumed at the load during the next 12 hours by up to 10 MW if power needs to be redirected to another load or a reduction in power consumption is needed for other reasons.

In the example arrangement of the system **1100** shown in FIG. **11**, one or more of the datacenters (e.g., the flexible datacenters **1102**, **1104**, and the traditional datacenter **1106**) may operate as the load that is subject to a power option agreement. As the load that is subject to the power option agreement, the datacenters **1102-1106** may execute control instructions in accordance with power target consumption targets that meet or exceed the minimum power thresholds based on the power option agreement.

As shown in FIG. **11**, each datacenter **1102-1106** may include a set of computing systems configured to perform computational operations using power from one or more power sources (e.g., BTM power, grid power, and/or grid power subject to a power option agreement). In particular, the flexible datacenter **1102** includes computing systems **1108** arranged into a first set **1114**A, a second set **1114**B, and a third set **1114**C, the flexible datacenter **1104** includes computing systems **1110** arranged into a first set **1116**A, a second set **1116**B, and a third set **1118**B, and the traditional datacenter **1106** includes computing systems **1112** arranged into a first set **1118**A, a second set **1118**B, and a third set **1118**C. Each set of computing systems may include various types of computing systems that can operate in one or more modes.

The different sets of computing systems as well as the multiple datacenters are included in FIG. **11** for illustration purposes. In particular, the variety of computing systems represent different configurations that a load may take while operating in accordance with a power option agreement, and each configuration (as detailed herein) may include ramping

up or down power consumption and transferring and performing computational operations between sets of computing systems and/or datacenters. In other examples, the load that is subject to a power option agreement may take on other configurations (e.g., a single datacenter **1102-1106**, and/or a single set of computing systems).

The remote master control system **262** may serve as a control system that can determine performance strategies and provide control instructions to the load (e.g., one or more of the datacenters **1102-1106**). In particular, the remote master control system **262** can monitor conditions in concert with the minimum power thresholds and time intervals (e.g., power option data) set forth in, and/or derived from, one or more power option agreements to determine performance strategies that can enable the load to meet the expectations of the power option agreement(s) while also efficiently using power to accomplish computational operations. In some instances, the remote master control system **262** may also be subject to the power option agreement and may adjust its own power consumption based on the power option agreement (e.g., ramp up or down power consumption based on the defined minimum power thresholds during time intervals).

To establish a power option agreement, the remote master control system **262** (or another computing system) may communicate with the power entity **1140**. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may provide a request (e.g., a signal and/or a bid) to the power entity **1140** and receive the terms of one or more power option agreements, or power option data related to power option agreements (e.g., data such as minimum power thresholds and time intervals, but not all terms contained within a potential power option agreement) in response. In some examples, the remote master control system **262** may evaluate one or more conditions prior to establishing a power option agreement to ensure that the conditions could enable the load (e.g., the datacenters **1102-1106**) to operate in accordance with the power option agreement. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may check the quantity and deadlines associated with computational operations assigned to specific datacenters prior to establishing specific datacenters as a load subject to a power option agreement. In some cases, multiple power option agreements may be established. For example, each datacenter **1102-1106** may be subject to a different power option agreement, which may result in the remote master control system **262** managing the power consumption at each of the datacenters **1102-1106** differently.

Within the system **1100** shown in FIG. **11**, the power entity **1140** may represent any type of power entity associated with the delivery of power to the load that is subject to a power option agreement. For instance, the power entity **1140** may be a local station control system, a grid operator, or a power generation source. As such, the power entity **1140** may establish power option agreements with the loads via communication with the loads and/or the remote master control system **262**. For example, the power entity **1140** may obtain and accept a bid from a load trying to engage in a power option agreement with the power entity **1140**. The power entity **1140** is shown with a power option module **1142**, which may be used to establish power option agreements (e.g., fixed-duration **1144** and/or dynamic **1146**).

Once a power option agreement is established, the remote master control system **262** may obtain power option data from the power entity **1140** (or another source) that specifies the power and time expectations of the power entity **1140**. As shown in FIG. **11**, the power entity **1140** includes a power option module **1142**, which may be used to provide power option data to the remote master control system **262** and/or the datacenters **1102-1106**. In particular, the power option data may specify the minimum power threshold or thresholds associated with one or more time intervals for the load to operate at in accordance with based on the power option agreement. The power option data may also specify other constraints that the load should operate in accordance with.

In some examples, the power option data may also include an indication of a monetary penalty that would be imposed upon the load for failure to operate as agreed upon for the power option agreement. In addition, the power option data may also include an indication of a monetary benefit provided to the load operating at power consumption levels that are in accordance with a power option agreement. For instance, monetary benefits could include reduced prices for power, credits for power, and/or monetary payments. In addition, the power option data may include further constraints upon power use, such as one or more maximum power thresholds and corresponding time intervals for the maximum power thresholds.

In some embodiments, the power entity **1140** may correspond to a qualified scheduling entity (QSE). A QSE may submit bids and offers on behalf of resource entities (REs) or load serving entities (LSEs), such as retail electric providers (REPs). QSEs may submit offers to sell and/or bids to buy power (energy) in the Day-Ahead Market (e.g., the next 24 hours) and the Real-Time Market. As such, the remote master control system **262** or another computing system may communicate with one or more QSEs to engage and control one or more loads in accordance with one or more power option agreements.

In some examples, a power option agreement may take the form of a fixed duration power option agreement **1144**. The fixed duration power option agreement **1144** may specify a set of minimum power thresholds and a set of time intervals in advance for an upcoming fixed duration of time covered by the agreement. Each minimum power threshold in the set of minimum power thresholds may be associated with a time interval in the set of time intervals. Examples of such association are provided in FIG. **12**. The fixed duration power option agreement may be established in advanced of the time period covered by the set of time intervals to enable the remote master control system **262** to prepare performance strategies for the load (e.g., the datacenter(s)) associated with the power option agreement. Thus, the remote master control system **262** may evaluate the fixed duration power option and other monitored conditions to determine performance strategies for a set of computing systems (e.g., one or more datacenters) during the different intervals that satisfy the minimum power thresholds.

In other examples, a power option agreement may take the form of a dynamic power option agreement **1146**. For a dynamic power option agreement **1146**, minimum power thresholds may be provided to the remote master control system **262** in real-time (or near real-time). For instance, a dynamic power option agreement may specify that the power entity **1140** may provide adjustments to minimum power thresholds and corresponding time intervals in real-time to the remote master control system **262**. For example, a dynamic power option agreement may provide power option data that specifies a minimum power threshold for immediate adjustments (e.g., for the next hour).

In an embodiment, a dynamic power option agreement **1146** may involve repeat communication between the remote master control system **262** and the power entity **1140**. Particularly, the power entity **1140** may provide signals to

the remote master control system **262** that request power consumption adjustments to be initiated at one or more datacenters by the remote master control system **262** over short time intervals, such as across minutes or seconds. For example, the power entity **1140** may communicate to the remote master control system **262** to ramp power consumption down to a particular level within the next 5 minutes. As a result, the remote master control system **262** may provide instructions to one or more datacenters to ramp down power consumption using a linear ramp over the next 5 minutes to meet the particular level specified by the power entity **1140**. The remote master control system **262** may monitor the linear ramp down of power consumption and increase or decrease the rate that the datacenter(s) ramp down power use based on projections and updates received from the power entity **1140**. As a result, although the ramp down of power consumption may initially be performed in a linear manner to meet a power target threshold, the remote master control system **262** may adjust the rate of power consumption decrease based on updates from the power entity **1140**. For example, 25 percent of the overall power consumption ramp down may occur during a first period (e.g., 4 minutes 30 seconds) of the 5 minutes and the remaining 75 percent of the overall power consumption ramp down may occur during the remaining period of the 5 minutes (e.g., the final 30 seconds). The example percentages are included for illustration purposes and can vary within examples based on various parameters, such as additional communication (e.g., adjustments) provided by the power entity **1140**.

In further examples, a power option agreement may operate similarly to both a fixed-duration **1144** and a dynamic power option agreement **1146**. Particularly, power option data specifying minimum power thresholds and corresponding time intervals may be provided in advance for the entire fixed-duration of time (e.g., the next 24 hours). Additional power option data may then be subsequently provided enabling the remote master control system **262** to make one or more adjustments to accommodate any changes specified within the additional power option data. For instance, additional power option data may indicate that a power entity exercised its option to deliver less power to the load. As a result, the remote master control system may instruct the load to adjust power consumption based on the power entity reducing the power threshold minimum via exercising the option.

As indicated above, the remote master control system **262** may monitor conditions in addition to the constraints set forth in power option data received from the power entity **1140**. Particularly, the remote master control system **262** may monitor and analyze a set of conditions (including the power option data) to determine strategies for assigning, transferring, and otherwise managing computational operations using the one or more datacenters **1102-1106**. The determined strategies may enable efficient operation by the datacenters while also ensuring that the datacenters operate at target power consumption levels that meet or exceed the minimum power thresholds set forth within one or more power option agreements.

Example monitored conditions include, but are not limited to, power availability **1120**, power prices **1122**, computing systems parameters **1124**, cryptocurrency prices **1126**, computational operation parameters **1128**, and weather conditions **1129**. Power availability **1120** may include determining power consumption ranges at a set of computing systems and/or at one or more datacenters. In addition, power availability **1120** may also involve determining the source or sources of power available at a datacenter. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may identify the types of power sources (e.g., BTM, grid power, and/or a battery system) that a datacenter has available. Power prices **1122** may involve an analysis of the different costs associated with powering a set of computing systems. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may determine cost of power from the grid without a power option agreement relative to the cost power from the grid under the power option agreement. In addition, the remote master control system **262** may also compare the cost of grid power relative to the cost of BTM power when available at a datacenter. The power prices **1122** may also involve comparing the cost of using power at different datacenters to determine which datacenter may perform computational operations at a lower cost.

Monitoring computing system parameters **1124** may involve determining parameters related to the computing systems at one or more datacenters. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may monitor various parameters of the computing systems at a datacenter, such as the abilities and availability of various computing systems, the status of the queue used to store computational operations awaiting performance by the computing systems. The remote master control system **262** may determine types and operation modes of the computing systems, including which computing systems could operate in different modes (e.g., a higher power or a lower power mode) and/or at different hash rates and/or frequencies. The remote master control system **262** may also estimate when computing systems may complete current computational operations and/or how many computational operations are assigned to computing systems.

Monitoring cryptocurrency prices **1126** may involve monitoring the current price of one or more cryptocurrencies, the hash rate and/or estimated power consumption associated with mining each cryptocurrency, and other factors associated with the cryptocurrencies. The remote master control system **262** may use data related to monitoring cryptocurrency prices **1126** to determine whether using computing systems to mine a cryptocurrency generates more revenue than the cost of power required for performance of the mining operations.

The remote master control system **262** may monitor parameters related to computational operations (e.g., computational operation parameters **1128**). For example, the remote master control system **262** may monitor parameters related to the computational operations requiring performance and currently being performed, such quantity of operations, estimated time to complete, cost to perform each computational operation, deadlines and priorities associated with each computational operation. In addition, the remote master control system **262** may analyze computational operations to determine if a particular type of computing system may perform the computational operation better than other types of computing systems.

Monitoring weather conditions **1129** may include monitoring for any potential power generation disruption due to emergencies or other events, and changes in temperatures or weather conditions at power generators or datacenters that could affect power generation. As such, the operations and environment analysis module (or another component) of the remote master control system **262** may be configured to monitor one or more conditions described above.

The performance strategy determined by the remote master control system **262** based on the monitored conditions and/or power option data can include control instructions for the load (e.g., the datacenters and/or one or more sets of

computing systems). For instance, a performance strategy can specify operating parameters, such as operating frequencies, power consumption targets, operating modes, power on/off and/or standby states, and other operation aspects for computing systems at a datacenter.

The performance strategy can also involve aspects related to the assignment, transfer, and performance of computational operations at the computing systems. For instance, the performance strategy may specify computational operations to be performed at the computing systems, an order for completing computational operations based on priorities associated with the computational operations, and an identification of which computing systems should perform which computational operations. In some instances, priorities may depend on revenue associated with completing each computational operation and deadlines for each computational operation.

The monitored conditions may enable efficient distribution and performance of computational operations among computing systems at one or more datacenters (e.g., datacenters **1102-1106**) in ways that can reduce costs and/or time to perform computational operations, take advantage of availability and abilities of computing systems at the datacenters **1102-1106**, and/or take advantage in changes in the cost for power at the datacenters **1102-1106**. In addition, the monitored conditions may also involve consideration of the power option data to ensure that the computing systems consume enough power to meet minimum power thresholds set forth in one or more power option agreements.

The various monitored conditions described above as well as other potential conditions may change dynamically and with great frequency. Thus, to enable efficient distribution and performance of the computational operations at the datacenters, the remote master control system **262** may be configured to monitor changes in the various conditions to assist with the efficient management and operations of the computing systems at each datacenter. For instance, the remote master control system **262** may engage in wired or wireless communication **1130** with datacenter control systems (e.g., datacenter control system **504**) at each datacenter as well as other sources (e.g., the power entity **1140**) to monitor for changes in the conditions.

The remote master control system **262** may analyze the different conditions in real-time to modulate operating attributes of computing systems at one or more of the datacenters. By using the monitored conditions, the remote master control system **262** may increase revenue, decrease costs, and/or increase performance of computational operations via various modifications, such as transferring computational operations between datacenters or sets of computing systems within a datacenter and adjusting performance at one or more sets of computing systems (e.g., switching to a low power mode).

In some examples, the traditional datacenter **1106** may be the load subject to a power option agreement. As such, the remote master control system **262** may factor the power option agreement when determining whether to perform computational operations using the computing systems **1112** at the traditional datacenter **1106** and/or transfer computational operations to the computing systems **1108**, **1110** at the flexible datacenters **1102**, **1104**. For instance, the monitored conditions may indicate that the price of grid power is substantially higher than BTM power. As a result, the remote master control system **262** may transfer a subset of computational operations from the traditional datacenter **1106** to the flexible datacenters **1102**, **1104**. The traditional datacenter **1106** may still have some computational operations to

perform to ensure that the traditional datacenter **1106** is using enough power to meet the minimum power threshold or thresholds set forth in the power option agreement.

In some examples, the remote master control system **262** may monitor the grid frequency signal received from the power entity **1140**. When the frequency of the grid deviates a threshold amount (e.g., 0.036 Hz above or below 60 Hz), the remote master control system **262** may adjust performance strategies at the load. In some cases, the remote master control system **262** may adjust the power consumption at the load, the number of miners (or computing systems) operating at the load, and/or the frequency or hash rate, among other possible changes. The remote master control system may readjust performance strategies at the load in response to receiving additional power option data from the power entity **1140** (e.g., an indication that the frequency of the grid is back to 60 Hz). In addition, the remote master control system **262** may communicate changes in operations at the load to the power entity **1140**. This way, the power entity **1140** may obtain confirmation that the load is adjusting in accordance with a power option agreement.

In some embodiments, a power generation source (e.g., the generation station **400** shown in FIG. **4**) may enter into a power option agreement with a grid operator, which may provide the grid operator with the option to reduce the amount of power that the power source generator can deliver to the grid during a defined time interval. For instance, a wind generation farm may enter into the power option agreement with the grid operator. In addition, the remote master control system **262** may also enter into a power option agreement with the power generation source (e.g., the wind farm) to provide a load that can receive excess power from the power generation source when the grid operator exercises the option and lowers the amount of power that the power generation source can deliver to the grid. Thus, rather than reducing the amount of power produced, the power generation source could exercise an option in the agreement with remote master control system **262** and redirect excess power to one or more loads (e.g., a set of computing systems) that could ramp up power consumption in response. In such situations, the remote master control system **262** maybe able to use the excess power from the power generation source (e.g., BTM power) to perform operations at one or more loads at a low cost (or no cost at all). In addition, the power generation source may benefit from the power option agreement by directing excess power to the load instead of temporarily halting power production.

In some examples, a power option agreement may depend on parameters associated balancing grid capacity and demand. For instance, power option agreements may incentivize power consumption ramping during periods of peak grid power use.

FIG. **12** shows a graph representing power option data based on a power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments. The graph **1200** shows power option data arranged according to power **1204** over time **1202**. As shown in FIG. **12**, time **1202** increases along the X-axis and minimum power thresholds **1204** increase along the Y-axis of the graph **1200**. In the example embodiment shown in FIG. **12**, the time **1202** increases up to a full day (e.g., 24 hours) in 4 hour increments and the power is shown in MW increasing in intervals of 5 MW. The 24 duration and example minimum power thresholds can differ in other embodiments. Particularly, these values may depend on the terms set forth within the power option agreement.

The graph line **1206** represents sets of minimum power thresholds **1206**A, **1206**B, **1206**C that are specified by power option data based on the power option agreement. As shown, the graph line **1206** extends the entire 24 hour duration, which indicates that the set of time intervals associated with minimum power thresholds add up to 24 hours. In other examples, the power option agreement may not include a minimum power threshold during a portion of the duration.

The graph line **1206** of the graph **1200** is further used to illustrate power consumption levels that one or more loads (e.g., a set of computing systems) operating according to the power option agreement may utilize during the 24 hour duration. Particularly, the power quantities above the graph line **1206** represents power levels that the load(s) may consume from the power grid during the 24 hour duration that would satisfy the requirements (i.e., the minimum power thresholds **1206**A-**1206**C) set forth by the power option agreement. In particular, the power quantities above the graph line **1206** include any power quantity that meets or exceeds the minimum power threshold at that time. By extension, the power quantities positioned below the graph line **1206** represents the amount of power that the load could be directed to reduce power consumption by per the power option agreement.

To further illustrate, an initial minimum power threshold **1206**A is shown associated with the time interval starting at hour 0 and extending to hour 8. In particular, the minimum power threshold **1206**A is set at 5 MW during this time interval. Thus, based on the power option data shown in FIG. **12**, the loads must be able to operate at a target power consumption level that is equal to or greater than the 5 MW minimum power threshold **1206**A at all times during the time interval extending from hour 0 to hour 8, in order to be able to satisfy the power option if it is exercised for that time interval. Similarly, the power entity could reduce the power consumed by loads by any amount up to 5 MW at any point during the time interval from hour 0 to hour 8 in accordance with the power option agreement. For instance, the power entity could exercise its option at any point during this time interval to reduce the power consumed by the loads by 3 MW as a way to load balance the power grid. In response to the power entity exercising its option, the load may then operate using 3 MW less power and/or another strategy determined by a control system factoring additional conditions (e.g., the price of grid power, the revenue that could be generated from mining a cryptocurrency, and/or parameters associated with computational operations awaiting performance)

As further shown in the graph **1200** illustrated in FIG. **12**, the next minimum power threshold **1206**B is associated with the following time interval, which starts at hour 8 and extends until hour 16. During this time interval (hour 8 to hour 16), the load(s) may consume 10 MW or more power since the minimum power threshold **1206**B is now set at 10 MW as shown on the Y-axis of the graph **1200**. In light of the power option data, a control system may determine and provide a performance strategy to the load (e.g., a set of computing systems) that includes a power consumption target that meets or exceeds the minimum power threshold **1206**B (i.e., 10 MW). The performance strategy may depend on the power option data as well as other possible conditions, such as the price of grid power, the availability of computing systems, and/or the type of computing operations, etc. In addition, the power entity could exercise its option to reduce the amount of power consumed by the load by 10 MW or less as represented by the power levels under the minimum threshold **1206**B that extend during the time interval of hour 8 to hour 16.

The last minimum power threshold **1206**C is associated with the time interval that starts at hour 16 and extends until hour 24. Similar to the initial minimum power threshold **1206**A associated with the beginning of the graph line **1206**, the last minimum power threshold **1206** is also set at 5 MW. As such, at any point during this interval (hour 16 to hour 24) the loads may consume 5 MW or more to operate in accordance with the power option agreement. As discussed above, by operating at 5 MW or more, the load enables the power consumed from the power grid to be reduced any amount from zero up to 5 MW during this time interval.

When determining the power consumption strategy for a load, a computing system (e.g., the remote master control system **262**) may consider various conditions in addition to the power option data received based on one or more power option agreements. Particularly, the computing system may consider and weigh different conditions in addition to the power option data to determine power consumption targets and/or other control instructions for a load. The conditions may include, but are not limited to, the price of grid power, the price of alternative power sources (e.g., BTM power, stored energy), the revenue associated with mining for one or more cryptocurrencies, parameters related to the computational operations requiring performance (e.g., priorities, deadlines, status of the queue organizing the operations, and/or revenue associated with completing each computational operation), parameters related to the set of computing systems (e.g., types and availabilities of computing systems), and other conditions (e.g., penalties if a minimum power threshold is not met and/or monetary benefits from operating under a power option agreement). By weighing various conditions, the computing system may efficiently manage the set of computing systems, including enabling performance of computational operations cost effectively and/or ensuring at that computing systems operate at target power consumption levels that one or more satisfy power option agreements.

In some examples, the computing system may decrease the amount of power that a set of computing systems consumes from one source and while also increasing the amount of power that the set consumes from another source. For instance, the computing system may determine that the price of power grid power is above a threshold price that makes computational operations relatively expensive to perform using grid power. As a result, the computing system may provide control instructions for the computing systems to consume power grid power that matches a minimum power threshold specified by power option data. This may enable the computing systems to satisfy the power option agreement while also avoiding using pricey grid power beyond the minimum amount required per the power option data. In addition, the computing system may instruct some computing systems to switch to a low power mode or temporarily stop until the price of power from the grid decreases. The computing system may instruct one or more computing systems to operate using power from another source (e.g., BTM power and/or stored energy from a battery system) and/or transfer one or more computational operations to another set of computing systems (e.g., a different datacenter).

When the power option agreement is a fixed duration power option agreement, the computing system may receive an indication of all the minimum power thresholds **1206**A-**1206**C and an indication of the associated time interval altogether and in advance of the duration associated with the

power option agreement. By providing all of the minimum power thresholds **1206**A-**1206**C and the time intervals in advance, the computing system may determine a performance strategy for the load that can extend across the entire duration. Particularly, the computing system may factor the minimum power thresholds and associated time intervals as well as other monitored conditions to determine the performance strategy for the total duration. This can enable the computing system to accept and assign computational operations to computing systems in advance while also using a performance strategy that meets the expectations of a power option agreement.

In some examples, the performance strategy determined by the computing system may include control instructions for the set of computing systems to execute if a power option is exercised. For instance, the performance strategy may specify different power consumption targets for the computing systems that depend on whether a power option is exercised during each time interval.

In some instances, the computing system may modify the performance strategy when one or more conditions change enough to warrant a modification. For instance, the computing system may receive an indication of a change in a minimum power threshold (e.g., a decrease in the minimum power threshold) and determine one or more modifications based on the new minimum power threshold and/or other conditions (e.g., a change in the price of power).

In other examples, the power option agreement may be a dynamic power option agreement. Particularly, the load may be subject to a changing minimum power threshold that can vary during a predefined duration associated with the power option agreement. For example, a dynamic power option agreement may specify that the load is subject to a minimum power threshold that may vary from 0 MW up to 5 MW during the next 24 hours and the particular minimum threshold for each hour may depend on power option data received from the power entity during the prior hour. The dynamic power option agreement may further specify the expected response time from the load. For instance, the power option agreement may indicate that an indication of a new minimum power threshold will be provided an hour prior to the start of the minimum power threshold. The computing system, for example, may receive an indication at hour 7 about the increase in the minimum power threshold **1206**B starting at hour 8. The indication may (or may not) specify the total time interval associated with a new minimum power threshold. For instance, the indication received by the computing system may specify that the 10 MW minimum power threshold **1206**B extends from hour 8 until hour 16. In other instances, the power option data may indicate that the computing system should abide by the new minimum power threshold until receiving further power option data indicating a change to another new minimum power threshold.

In some examples, the power option data may arrive at the computing system in an unknown order from the power entity with expectations of swift power consumption adjustments by the load. As a result, the power option agreement may require fast ramping of the load to meet changes. Ramping may involve ramping up or down power consumption as well as ramping operating techniques (e.g., adjusting frequency or operation mode).

In some embodiments, the type of power option power agreement may depend on the delivery and content of power option data provided to the load (or a control system controlling the load). For instance, a computing system may receive minimum power thresholds set across an entire duration associated with a power option agreement in advance when the power option agreement is a fixed-duration power option agreement. In other instances, the computing system may receive power option data dynamically and adjust operations in real-time (or near real-time). For instance, the computing system may receive a series of power option data that each specifies minimum power threshold changes during the duration set forth in the dynamic power option agreement. To illustrate an example, the computing system may receive power option data during hour 1 that specifies the minimum power threshold for hour 2, power option data during hour 2 that specifies the minimum power threshold for hour 3, and so on across the duration of the dynamic power option agreement.

In some examples, the minimum power threshold for a time interval may be zero during the duration of a power option agreement. As such, the load may use any amount of power from the power grid in accordance with the power option agreement, including no power at all during this time interval. When the price for power is high during this time frame, the load may ramp down power usage to zero MW to avoid paying the high price for power while still being in compliance with the power option agreement.

FIG. **13** illustrates a method for implementing control strategies based on a fixed-duration power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments. The method **1300** serves as an example and may include other steps within other embodiments. A control system (e.g., the remote master control system **262**) may be configured to perform one or more steps of the method **1300**. As such, the control system may take various forms of a computing system, such as a mobile computing device, a wearable computing device, a network of computing systems, etc.

At step **1302**, the method **1300** involves monitoring a set of conditions. For instance, a computing system (e.g., a control system) may monitor various conditions that could impact the performance of operations at one or more loads, including the power consumption targets at the loads. The set of monitored conditions may include a variety of information obtained from one or more external sources, such as one or more datacenters, databases, power generation stations, or types of sources.

Some example conditions include, but are not limited to, the price of grid power, the price and availability of alternative power options (e.g. BTM power, and/or stored energy), parameters of the load (e.g., ramping abilities, type of computing systems, operation modes, etc.), parameters of tasks to be performed using the power at the load (e.g., types, deadlines, priorities, and/or revenue associated with computational operations), availability of other computing systems and their associated costs, and/or revenue associated with mining a cryptocurrency. The computing system may monitor one or more of these conditions as well as others.

At step **1304**, the method **1300** involves receiving power option data based, at least in part, on a power option agreement. As discussed above, the computing system (e.g., a remote master control system) may engage in a power option agreement with a power entity. As a result, the computing system may control a load (e.g., a set of computing systems) in accordance with power thresholds and time intervals received from the power entity based on the power option agreement.

In some examples, the power option data may specify a set of minimum power thresholds and a set of time intervals. Each minimum power threshold in the set of minimum power thresholds may be associated with a time interval in

the set of time intervals. To illustrate an example, the power option data may specify a first minimum power threshold associated with a first time interval and a second minimum power threshold associated with a second time interval, with the second time interval subsequent to the first time interval.

The set of time intervals may add up to the duration represented by the power option agreement. For instance, the total duration of the set of time intervals may correspond to a twenty-four hour period (e.g., the next day). In other examples, the power option agreement may span across a different duration (e.g., 12 hours). In additional embodiments, the power option data may specify other information, such as monetary incentives associated with parameters of the power option agreement and/or one or more maximum power thresholds.

At step **1306**, the method **1300** involves determining a performance strategy for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the power option data and at least one condition in the set of conditions. The performance strategy may be determined responsive to receiving the power option data. In addition, the performance strategy may include a power consumption target for the set of computing systems for each time interval in the set of time intervals. In some examples, each power consumption target is equal to or greater than the minimum power threshold associated with each time interval.

As an example, the performance strategy may specify a first power consumption target for the set of computing systems for a first time interval such that the first power consumption target is equal to or greater than a first minimum power threshold associated with the first time interval and a second power consumption target for the set for a second time interval in a similar manner (i.e., the second power consumption target is equal to or greater than a second minimum power threshold).

In some examples, the performance strategy may include an sequence for the set of computing systems to follow when performing computational operations. The sequence, for example, may be based on priorities associated with the computational operations. In addition, the performance strategy may include one or more power consumption targets that are greater than the minimum power thresholds when the price of power from the power grid is below a threshold price during the time intervals associated with the minimum power thresholds.

The performance strategy may also involve transferring, delaying, or adjusting one or more computational operations performed at the set of computing systems. In addition, the performance strategy may involve adjusting operations at the computing systems. For instance, one or more computing systems may switch modes (e.g., operate at a higher frequency or switch to a low power mode).

In addition, the performance strategy may also specify power consumption targets for the set of computing systems to use if the power option is exercised during an interval. This way, the computing systems may continue to perform computational operations (or suspend performance) based on the power option being exercised.

At step **1308**, the method **1300** involves providing instructions to the set of computing systems to perform one or more computational operations based on the performance strategy. For example, the set of computing systems may operate according to the performance strategy to ensure that the minimum power thresholds are met during the defined time intervals based on the power option agreement.

Some examples may further involve receiving subsequent power option data based, at least in part, on the power option agreement. The subsequent power option data may specify to decrease one or more minimum power thresholds of the set of power thresholds. Responsive to receiving the subsequent power option data, the performance strategy for the set of computing systems may be modified based on a combination of at least a portion of the subsequent power option data and one or more conditions of the monitored conditions. The modified performance strategy may include one or more reduced power consumption targets for the set of computing systems. The amount of the reduction in a power consumption target may depend linearly with the amount that the corresponding minimum power threshold was reduced by. For instance, when a minimum power threshold for a time interval is reduced from 10 MW to 5 MW, the power consumption target for that time interval may be reduced from 10 MW to 5 MW. Instructions may be provided to the set of computing systems to perform computational operations based on the modified performance strategy.

FIG. **14** illustrates a method for implementing control strategies based on a dynamic power option agreement, according to one or more embodiments. The method **1400** serves as an example and may include other steps within other embodiments. Similar to the method **1400**, a control system (e.g., the remote master control system **262**) may be configured to perform one or more steps of the method **1400**. As such, the control system may take various forms of a computing system, such as a mobile computing device, a wearable computing device, a network of computing systems, etc.

At block **1402**, the method **1400** involves monitoring a set of conditions. Similar to block **1302** of the method **1300**, a computing system may monitor various conditions to determine instructions for controlling a set of computing systems.

At block **1404**, the method **1400** involves receiving first power option data based, at least in part, on a power option agreement while monitoring the set of conditions. The first power option data may specify a first minimum power threshold associated with a first time interval. For example, the first power option data may specify a minimum power threshold of 10 MW for the next hour, which may start in an hour or less.

The power option agreement may correspond to a dynamic power option agreement in some examples. When managing a load with respect to a dynamic power option agreement, a computing system may receive power option data specifying changes in minimum power thresholds that a load (e.g., the set of computing systems) may be designated to use in the near term (e.g., the next hour). For example, the computing system may receive power option data during each hour of the duration specified by a power option agreement that indicates a minimum power threshold for the next hour.

At block **1406**, the method **1400** involves providing first control instructions for a set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the first power option data and at least one condition. The first control instructions may be provided responsive to receiving the first power option data.

The first control instructions may include a first power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the first time interval. Particularly, the first power consumption target may be equal to or greater than the first minimum power threshold associated with the first time interval. For example, the first power consumption target may be greater than the first minimum power threshold when a cost of power from the power grid is below a threshold price during the first time interval. In other instances, the first power

consumption target may be equal to the first minimum power threshold when the cost of power from the power grid is greater than the threshold price.

In some examples, control instructions may specify a sequence for the computing systems to follow when performing computational operations. The sequence may be based on priorities associated with each computational operation.

The first control instructions may be determined based on a combination of the first power option data, the price of power from the power grid, and parameters associated with computational operations to be performed at the set of computing systems.

In some examples, the first control instructions may involve ramping up or down power consumption at the set of computing systems. The power consumption may be ramped up or down based on the first minimum power threshold and one or more other conditions (e.g., the price of power).

At block **1408**, the method **1400** involves receiving second power option data based, at least in part, on the power option agreement while monitoring the set of conditions. The computing system may receive the second power option data subsequent to receiving the first power option data. The second power option data may specify a second minimum power threshold associated with a second time interval. For example, the second minimum power threshold may be 7 MW over the duration of the upcoming hour. In other examples, the second minimum power threshold may differ as shown in FIG. **12**.

In some instances, the computing system may receive the second power option data during the first time interval such that the second time interval overlaps the first time interval. For instance, the computing system may receive the second power option data to enable real-time adjustments to be made to the power consumed at the set of computing systems.

At block **1410**, the method **1400** involves providing second control instructions for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the second power option data and at least one condition. The second control instructions may be provided responsive to receiving the second power option data. The second control instructions may specify a second power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the second time interval. The second power consumption target may be equal to or greater than the second minimum power threshold associated with the second time interval.

In some examples, the computing system may provide a request to a QSE to determine the power option agreement. As such, the computing system may receive power option data (e.g., the first and second power option data) in response to providing the request to the QSE.

The computing system may monitor the price of power from the power grid, and the global mining hash rate and a price for a cryptocurrency (e.g., Bitcoin), among other conditions. The computing system may determine control instructions (e.g., the first and/or second control instructions) based on a combination of power option data, the price of power from the power grid, and the global mining hash rate and the price for the cryptocurrency. For instance, the computing system may cause one or more computing systems (e.g., a subset of computing systems) to perform mining operations for the cryptocurrency when the price of power from the power grid is equal to or less than a revenue obtained by performing the mining operations for the cryptocurrency.

Advantages of one or more embodiments of the present invention may include one or more of the following:

One or more embodiments of the present invention provides a green solution to two prominent problems: the exponential increase in power required for growing blockchain operations and the unutilized and typically wasted energy generated from renewable energy sources.

One or more embodiments of the present invention allows for the rapid deployment of mobile datacenters to local stations. The mobile datacenters may be deployed on site, near the source of power generation, and receive low cost or unutilized power behind-the-meter when it is available.

One or more embodiments of the present invention provide the use of a queue system to organize computational operations and enable efficient distribution of the computational operations across multiple datacenters.

One or more embodiments of the present invention enable datacenters to access and obtain computational operations organized by a queue system.

One or more embodiments of the present invention allows for the power delivery to the datacenter to be modulated based on conditions or an operational directive received from the local station or the grid operator.

One or more embodiments of the present invention may dynamically adjust power consumption by ramping-up, ramping-down, or adjusting the power consumption of one or more computing systems within the flexible datacenter.

One or more embodiments of the present invention may be powered by behind-the-meter power that is free from transmission and distribution costs. As such, the flexible datacenter may perform computational operations, such as distributed computing processes, with little to no energy cost.

One or more embodiments of the present invention provides a number of benefits to the hosting local station. The local station may use the flexible datacenter to adjust a load, provide a power factor correction, to offload power, or operate in a manner that invokes a production tax credit and/or generates incremental revenue.

One or more embodiments of the present invention allows for continued shunting of behind-the-meter power into a storage solution when a flexible datacenter cannot fully utilize excess generated behind-the-meter power.

One or more embodiments of the present invention allows for continued use of stored behind-the-meter power when a flexible datacenter can be operational but there is not an excess of generated behind-the-meter power.

One or more embodiments of the present invention allows for management and distribution of computational operations at computing systems across a fleet of datacenters such that the performance of the computational operations take advantages of increased efficiency and decreased costs.

It will also be recognized by the skilled worker that, in addition to improved efficiencies in controlling power delivery from intermittent generation sources, such as wind farms and solar panel arrays, to regulated power grids, the invention provides more economically efficient control and stability of such power grids in the implementation of the technical features as set forth herein.

While the present invention has been described with respect to the above-noted embodiments, those skilled in the art, having the benefit of this disclosure, will recognize that other embodiments may be devised that are within the scope of the invention as disclosed herein. Accordingly, the scope of the invention should be limited only by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A system comprising:

a set of computing systems coupled to a behind-the-meter (BTM) power generation source, wherein the set of computing systems is configured to perform computational operations;

a control system configured to:

monitor a set of conditions related to operation of computing systems of the set of computing systems;

receive power option data that specify: (i) a set of power thresholds, and (ii) a set of time intervals, wherein each power threshold in the set of power thresholds is associated with a time interval in the set of time intervals;

responsive to receiving the power option data, determine control instructions for at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems based at least in part on a combination of at least a portion of the power option data and at least one condition of the set of conditions; and

cause power consumption of at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems to be dynamically adjusted as a function of the control instructions.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the set of conditions comprises a plurality of parameters associated with one or more computational operations to be performed at the set of computing systems.

3. The system of claim 2, wherein the control system is configured to:

determine the control instructions based on a combination of at least the portion option data and the plurality of parameters associated with the one or more computational operations.

4. The system of claim 3, wherein the control instructions further comprise:

an order for the set of computing systems to follow when performing the one or more computational operations, wherein the order is based on respective priorities associated with the one or more computational operations.

5. The system of claim 4, wherein configured to cause power consumption of at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems to be dynamically adjusted comprises configured to adjust one or more of (i) which computing systems of the set of computing systems will perform the one or more computational operations; and (ii) an order by which computing systems of the set of computing systems will perform the one or more computational operations.

6. The system of claim 4, wherein determining the control instructions is further based at least in part on at least one operating parameter of the set of computing systems including (i) operating frequencies, (ii) power consumption targets, (iii) operating modes; (iv) power on/off states; and (v) standby states.

7. The system of claim 4, wherein the set of conditions monitored by the control system includes availability of stored power.

8. The system of claim 1, wherein the control system is further configured to:

receive subsequent power option data, wherein the subsequent power option data specify to decrease one or more power thresholds of the set of power thresholds.

9. The system of claim 8, wherein the control system is further configured to:

responsive to receiving the subsequent power option data, determine new control instructions for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least the portion of the subsequent power option data and at least one condition in the set of conditions,

wherein the new control instructions are based at least in part on one or more reduced power consumption targets for the set of computing systems.

10. The system of claim 9, wherein the control system is further configured to:

cause the power consumption of at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems to be dynamically adjusted as a function of the new control instructions.

11. The system of claim 1, wherein the control system is a datacenter control system co-located with the set of computing systems.

12. The system of claim 1, wherein the control system is a remote master control system positioned remotely from the set of computing systems.

13. The system of claim 1, wherein the control system is configured to receive the power option data while monitoring the set of conditions.

14. The system of claim 1, wherein the control system is further configured to:

provide a request to a qualified scheduling entity (QSE) to determine a power option agreement having power option data; and

receive power option data in response to providing the request to the QSE.

15. The system of claim 1, wherein the power option data specify: (i) a first power threshold associated with a first time interval in the set of time intervals, and (ii) a second power threshold associated with a second time interval in the set of time intervals,

wherein the second time interval is subsequent to the first time interval.

16. The system of claim 15, wherein the control system is configured to:

determine a performance strategy for the set of computing systems, wherein the performance strategy comprises:

a first power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the first time interval, wherein the first power consumption target is equal to or greater than the first minimum power threshold; and

a second power consumption target for the set of computing systems for the second time interval, wherein the second power consumption target is equal to or greater than the second minimum power threshold.

17. The system of claim 1, wherein a total duration of the set of time intervals corresponds to a twenty-four hour period.

18. The system of claim 1, wherein the set of conditions monitored by the control system further comprise:

a global mining hash rate and a price for a cryptocurrency,

wherein the control system is configured to determine the control instructions for the set of computing systems based at least in part on a revenue associated with mining the cryptocurrency.

19. The computing system of claim 1, wherein the control instructions are based at least in part on a performance strategy comprising a power consumption target for the set of computing systems for each time interval in the set of time intervals.

20. The computing system of claim **19**, wherein each power consumption target is equal to or greater than the power threshold associated with each time interval.

21. A method comprising:

monitoring, by a control system, a set of conditions related to operation of computing systems of a set of computing systems;

receiving, at the control system, power option data, wherein the power option data specify: (i) a set of power thresholds, and (ii) a set of time intervals, wherein each power threshold in the set of power thresholds is associated with a time interval in the set of time intervals;

responsive to receiving the power option data, determining control instructions for at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems based at least in part on a combination of at least a portion of the power option data and at least one condition of the set of conditions; and

causing power consumption of the at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems to be dynamically adjusted as a function of the control instructions.

22. The method of claim **21**, wherein the control instructions comprise a power consumption target for at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems for each time interval in the set of time intervals, wherein each power consumption target is equal to or greater than the power threshold associated with each time interval, and wherein the set of computing systems is coupled to a behind-the-meter (BTM) power generation source.

23. The method of claim **21**, wherein the control instructions are based at least in part on a performance strategy comprising a power consumption target for the set of computing systems for each time interval.

24. The method of claim **23**, wherein each power consumption target is equal to or greater than the power threshold associated with each time interval.

25. The method of claim **21**, wherein determining the control instructions for the at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems comprises:

identifying information about the set of computing systems; and

determining a performance strategy comprising operating the at least some of the computing systems of the set of computing systems at an increased frequency based on a combination of at least the portion of the power option data and the information about the set of computing systems.

26. The method of claim **25**, further comprising:

receiving subsequent power option data, wherein the subsequent power option data specify to decrease one or more power thresholds of the set of power thresholds;

responsive to receiving the subsequent power option data, modifying the performance strategy for the set of computing systems based on a combination of at least a portion of the subsequent power option data and at least one condition of the set of conditions, wherein the modified performance strategy comprises one or more reduced power consumption targets for the set of computing systems; and

providing instructions to the set of computing systems to perform the one or more computational operations based on the modified performance strategy.

27. A non-transitory computer readable medium having stored therein instructions executable by one or more processors to cause a first computing system to perform functions comprising:

monitoring a set of conditions related to operation of second computing systems of a set of computing systems;

receiving power option data that specify: (i) a set of power thresholds, and (ii) a set of time intervals, wherein each power threshold in the set of power thresholds is associated with a time interval in the set of time intervals;

responsive to receiving the power option data, determining control instructions for at least some of the second computing systems of the set of computing systems based at least in part on a combination of at least a portion of the power option data and at least one condition of the set of conditions; and

causing power consumption of the at least some of the second computing systems of the set of computing systems to be dynamically adjusted as a function of the control instructions.

* * * * *